

Exploring Rural Economic Potential through Community-Based Entrepreneurship: Lessons from the Concept of Rural Entrepreneurship

Agus Yulistiyono¹, Dyah Ayu Suryaningrum², Zulaekah³

¹Universitas Muhammadiyah Tangerang

²Universitas Sebelas Maret

³STIT Mumtaz Karimun

Article Info

Article history:

Received August 2023

Revised August 2023

Accepted August 2023

Keywords:

Rural Economic
Community-Based
Entrepreneurship

ABSTRACT

This research delves into the exploration of rural economic potential through the lens of community-based entrepreneurship in West Java. The study aims to unravel the role of grassroots initiatives in propelling economic growth, job creation, and sustainable development within these regions. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research amalgamates qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys to holistically collect and analyze data. The research sample encompasses rural entrepreneurs, community leaders, local residents, and relevant government officials. The findings are anticipated to contribute insights into the dynamics of community-based entrepreneurship in rural West Java, providing recommendations for policymakers, development agencies, and local communities on fostering economic growth and sustainable development.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Dr. Agus Yulistiyono, SE., MM

Institution Address: Universitas Muhammadiyah Tangerang

e-mail: agusyulistiyono@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship has long been recognized as a crucial factor contributing to economic growth. It plays a significant role in initiating and maintaining economic development in society [1]. Entrepreneurs seek out new sources of supplies, markets, and technology, as well as new and more efficient organizational structures. They also generate new opportunities and take them with the determination and energy required to overcome the obstacles presented by social, cultural, political, and economic settings [1]. Education for entrepreneurship is one of the fastest-growing fields within academic

training worldwide, indicating the importance of entrepreneurship and the creation of companies for the economic development of any society [2]. Entrepreneurship education is essential not only to promote an entrepreneurial spirit among young people but also to provide the basic skills, knowledge, and innovative attitudes to carry out new business models successfully [2].

The literature on entrepreneurship and economic growth has shown that entrepreneurship can promote economic growth in innovation-driven countries, but it may not have the same effect in factor- and efficiency-driven countries [3]. Opportunity-

driven entrepreneurship (ODE) is positively correlated with the economic growth of innovation-driven countries, while necessity-driven entrepreneurship (NDE) is negatively correlated with the economic growth of factor- and efficiency-driven countries [3]. Governance quality also plays a significant role in the entrepreneurship-growth nexus. The effectiveness of governance will vary depending on a country's development level and entrepreneurial motivation [3]. Good governance can contribute to entrepreneurial activities and economic growth, but the degree and nature of this relationship may differ among countries depending on their development stage [3].

In conclusion, entrepreneurship has never been excluded from economic growth. It is a vital factor that contributes to the initiation and maintenance of economic development in society. The relationship between entrepreneurship and economic growth can vary depending on the country's development stage, entrepreneurial motivation, and governance quality. However, the overall importance of entrepreneurship in fostering economic growth remains undisputed.

Community-based entrepreneurship in rural areas of West Java can drive economic growth, create jobs and pave the way for sustainable development through various initiatives. Sustainable agriculture and rural development in areas such as the Upper Citarum Watershed can improve local economic growth, social equity, and environmental quality [4]. By providing local inputs, training, credit, marketing, green retail, ecotourism, bio-energy, and bio-industry, these initiatives can create additional income and new employment opportunities both in the agricultural and off-farm sectors [4]. Rural tourism centers, such as Panjalu in Ciamis, West Java, have the potential to boost the local economy and create jobs [5]. By focusing on the attributes that are most sensitive to sustainability, such as biodiversity, local community engagement and livelihood diversification, rural tourism can be developed sustainably [5]. This can be

achieved through strategies such as cultural and community-based rural tourism [5]. The sustainable development of micro-hydro power plants can provide electrification to isolated traditional villages in West Java, such as Kasepuhan Ciptagelar [6]. By increasing community innovation and the availability of microcredit, productive activities can be increased, resulting in better economic conditions to sustain these power plants [6]. Tugu Utara Village in Bogor, West Java, is an example of a community that has successfully integrated local wisdom into sustainable rural tourism development [7]. By preserving and promoting local culture, traditions and natural resources, the village has created a unique and attractive tourist destination [7]. Islamic Rural Banks in West Java have shown that financing structure, inflation, and economic growth can have a significant impact on non-performing loans [8]. By understanding these factors and their impact, policymakers can develop strategies to support community-based entrepreneurship and economic growth in rural areas.

In conclusion, community-based entrepreneurship in rural areas of West Java can be nurtured through sustainable agriculture and rural development, rural tourism development, micro-hydro power generation, local wisdom for sustainable rural tourism, and understanding the impact of financial structure and economic growth on rural communities. By implementing these initiatives, rural West Java can experience economic growth, increased employment opportunities, and sustainable development.

Rural areas constitute a vital but often overlooked component of any nation's economic landscape. In the Indonesian province of West Java, these rural regions bear unique economic potential that has yet to be fully harnessed [9]. The key to unlocking this potential lies in the concept of community-based entrepreneurship, which has gained recognition as a transformative force capable of revitalizing local economies, generating employment opportunities, and fostering sustainable development. By empowering local communities to take charge of their

economic destiny, community-based entrepreneurship not only addresses the challenges faced by rural areas but also aligns with broader national and global goals of equitable growth and inclusive development [10], [11].

The economic landscape of West Java's rural regions is characterized by a complex interplay of challenges and opportunities. While endowed with rich natural resources and cultural heritage, these areas grapple with issues such as limited access to markets, inadequate infrastructure, and a lack of investment. Traditional livelihoods are often threatened by urban migration and globalization, leading to a potential loss of valuable local knowledge and traditions. To counter these trends, there is a growing recognition that top-down development approaches may not be the most effective solutions. Instead, fostering homegrown initiatives that leverage the creativity, resourcefulness, and collective strength of local communities emerges as a promising strategy.

The focal point of this research is to delve into the dynamics of community-based entrepreneurship in rural West Java and explore how these initiatives can propel economic growth, create employment, and pave the way for sustainable development. By investigating the role of grassroots entrepreneurship, this study seeks to uncover the intricate mechanisms that drive rural economic potential and identify the factors that contribute to the success or hindrance of such endeavors. Through a thorough examination of the experiences and perspectives of rural entrepreneurs, community leaders, local residents, and government officials, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of community-based entrepreneurship on the economic landscape of West Java's rural areas.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *Community-Based*

Entrepreneurship: Conceptual Framework

Community-based entrepreneurship represents a departure from traditional top-down economic development approaches, emphasizing the pivotal role of local communities in driving economic growth. Rooted in the principles of empowerment, participation, and local ownership, community-based entrepreneurship involves the initiation and management of business activities by individuals and groups within a community. This approach not only harnesses local resources and knowledge but also fosters a sense of ownership, contributing to the sustainable development of rural areas. Scholars like [10]–[12] highlight that community-based entrepreneurship can act as a catalyst for innovation and job creation, resulting in a multiplier effect that positively influences the broader economic ecosystem.

2.2 *Rural Economic Development and Entrepreneurship*

Rural areas often face unique economic challenges, such as limited access to markets, capital, and essential services. Entrepreneurship has been recognized as a potential solution to address these challenges. According to [13]–[16], rural entrepreneurship is influenced by a combination of individual, community, and environmental factors. The individual's entrepreneurial mindset, skills, and aspirations interact with the social capital and support systems within the community, which collectively shape the entrepreneurial landscape. Therefore, understanding the interplay between these factors is crucial for fostering successful rural entrepreneurship.

2.3 *Role of Community in Entrepreneurship*

The role of community in entrepreneurship is central to community-based initiatives. [17] argues that social capital, including trust, norms, and networks, plays a vital role in fostering collective action and cooperation within communities. In the context of entrepreneurship, social capital can facilitate knowledge sharing, resource pooling, and mutual support among entrepreneurs, thereby enhancing their chances of success. Additionally,

communities provide a supportive environment where entrepreneurs can experiment, innovate, and receive feedback, as highlighted by [18]–[20].

2.4 Economic Impact of Community-Based Entrepreneurship

Several studies have examined the economic impact of community-based entrepreneurship on rural areas. In the research conducted by [21]–[24], the authors demonstrate that locally owned businesses tend to have a more significant positive impact on local economies than externally owned enterprises. This stems from the fact that local entrepreneurs are more likely to reinvest profits within the community, creating a multiplier effect that boosts economic activity. Moreover, community-based enterprises often have a deep understanding of local needs and preferences, leading to the development of products and services that cater to the specific demands of the region.

2.5 Challenges and Barriers

Despite the potential benefits, community-based entrepreneurship faces challenges that need to be addressed. Lack of access to finance, inadequate infrastructure, limited entrepreneurial skills, and regulatory hurdles are common obstacles faced by rural entrepreneurs [16], [25], [26]. Moreover, gender disparities and cultural norms can also influence the participation of certain groups in entrepreneurship. Developing effective support mechanisms that address these challenges is critical for fostering an environment conducive to community-based entrepreneurship.

2.6 Policy Implications

Effective policies play a pivotal role in supporting community-based entrepreneurship. Governments and development agencies can facilitate entrepreneurship by providing access to affordable financing, offering training and capacity-building programs, and creating an enabling regulatory environment. Research by [27]–[30] highlights the importance of tailored policies that consider the unique characteristics of rural areas, promoting

entrepreneurship that aligns with local strengths and needs.

3. METHODS

A mixed-methods research design will be adopted to ensure a comprehensive exploration of the topic. This approach integrates qualitative and quantitative methods, which allows for triangulation of data sources and enhances the overall validity and reliability of the research [31]. The qualitative phase will provide a deeper understanding of the experiences and perspectives of rural entrepreneurs, community leaders, local residents and government officials, while the quantitative phase will facilitate the measurement and analysis of quantitative indicators related to community-based entrepreneurship.

3.1 Data Collection Methods

3.1.2 Qualitative Phase: In-depth Interviews

In-depth interviews will be conducted with a purposively selected sample of participants, including rural entrepreneurs, community leaders, local residents, and government officials in West Java. A semi-structured interview guide will be developed to explore participants' experiences, perceptions and insights regarding community-based entrepreneurship. Interviews will be conducted face-to-face or virtually, based on participant preference and eligibility. Each interview is expected to last approximately 45–60 minutes and will be audio-recorded for accurate transcription and analysis.

3.1.1 Quantitative Phase: Survey

A structured survey will be distributed to a larger sample of rural entrepreneurs in West Java. The survey will include closed-ended questions for quantitative measurement and Likert scale questions to measure the level of impact of community-based entrepreneurship on various economic indicators. The survey will be designed to collect data on business performance, income growth, job creation, challenges faced, and benefits derived from community-based entrepreneurship. The

survey will be distributed online through various platforms, to ensure wide accessibility for potential participants.

3.2 Sampling Strategy

3.2.1 Qualitative Phase

Purposive sampling will be used to select participants for the qualitative phase. The sample will consist of a diverse range of individuals who are actively engaged in community-based entrepreneurship or have insights and experiences relevant to this research. The sample includes successful rural entrepreneurs, community leaders involved in entrepreneurial initiatives, local residents who have participated in community projects, and government officials responsible for rural development totaling 10 Entrepreneurs.

3.2.2 Quantitative Phase

Convenience sampling will be used for the quantitative phase of the research. A larger sample of rural entrepreneurs will be selected based on their availability and willingness to participate in an online survey, with 200 questionnaires distributed and 65 returned. The aim is to capture a wide variety of businesses and experiences to ensure a comprehensive representation of the rural entrepreneurship landscape in West Java.

3.3 Data Analysis Technique

3.3.1 Qualitative Data Analysis

Qualitative data collected from in-depth interviews will undergo thematic analysis. This involves several stages, including interview transcription, data familiarization, open coding to identify initial themes, category development, and interpretation of findings. Deductive coding based on the research objectives and inductive coding to capture emerging themes will be used. NVivo or similar software will be used to manage and analyze qualitative data, facilitating the identification of patterns and insights.

3.3.2 Quantitative Data Analysis

Quantitative data collected from the survey will be analyzed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics, including measures of central tendency (mean, median) and dispersion (standard deviation), will be calculated for relevant variables. In addition,

correlation analysis will be conducted to explore the relationship between various economic indicators and the perceived impact of community-based entrepreneurship.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results of the qualitative and quantitative data analysis, followed by a comprehensive discussion that interprets the findings in the context of the research objectives and existing literature.

4.1 Qualitative Results

Thematic analysis of the qualitative data revealed several key themes that explain the role of community-based entrepreneurship in unlocking rural economic potential in West Java.

Theme 1: Empowerment and Community Ownership

Participants consistently emphasized the empowerment that community-based entrepreneurship brings to rural areas. The ability to control their economic destiny and shape their future is considered a strong motivator for local entrepreneurs. Community ownership not only strengthens ties within communities but also fosters a sense of collective pride and responsibility, leading to increased investment in regional growth.

Theme 2: Local Resource Utilization and Innovation

Local entrepreneurs often utilize regional resources, culture, and traditions to create unique products and services that appeal to locals and tourists. The integration of traditional practices with modern approaches results in innovative business models that contribute to economic diversification. Participants highlighted that this approach not only adds value to their products, but also preserves local heritage.

Theme 3: Social Capital and Networks

The importance of social capital in community-based entrepreneurship emerged as an important theme. Entrepreneurs spoke of the support networks they have built within their communities, which facilitate knowledge sharing, access to resources, and mutual assistance during difficult times.

These networks extend beyond business dealings, fostering a sense of camaraderie that contributes to community cohesion.

4.2 Quantitative Results

The quantitative analysis provides insight into the quantitative indicators associated with community-based entrepreneurship in rural West Java.

Indicator 1: Business Growth and Income Increase

The results show that the majority of rural entrepreneurs surveyed reported positive trends in business growth and increased income after engaging in community-based entrepreneurship initiatives. About 75% of respondents reported moderate to significant improvements in the financial performance of their businesses.

Indicator 2: Job Creation

The impact on job creation is also noteworthy. The data shows that community-based entrepreneurship leads to job creation in the community. On average, each business is estimated to have generated two to three additional jobs.

Indicator 3: Challenges Faced

Survey participants identified several challenges hindering the growth of community-based entrepreneurship in rural West Java. These challenges include limited access to financing, inadequate infrastructure, and difficulty reaching larger markets due to geographical constraints.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the potential of community-based entrepreneurship in unlocking rural economic growth in West Java. The qualitative data underscores the importance of community empowerment and ownership, which is in line with bottom-up development principles. The ability of local entrepreneurs to utilize local resources and innovate demonstrates the adaptive capacity of rural communities in responding to economic challenges.

The quantitative results highlight the tangible benefits of community-based entrepreneurship, with businesses

experiencing income growth and contributing to job creation. These findings are in line with previous research that emphasizes the positive economic impact of locally owned enterprises. However, challenges reported by survey participants point to the need for targeted interventions to address barriers such as access to finance and infrastructure.

The themes and indicators discussed collectively reinforce the importance of community-driven initiatives in rural economic development. The observed interactions between community empowerment, local resource utilization, and tangible economic outcomes reinforce the theoretical framework of community-based entrepreneurship.

Implications and Recommendations

The results and discussion present several implications for policy makers, development agencies, and local communities:

Policy Support: Policymakers should design policies that facilitate access to finance, improve infrastructure, and create an enabling regulatory environment. Customized incentives for community-based enterprises can promote sustainable economic growth.

Capacity Building: Providing training and capacity building programs can equip rural entrepreneurs with the necessary skills to thrive in competitive markets and innovate effectively.

Networking and Collaboration: Encouraging networking and collaboration among rural entrepreneurs can strengthen social capital, improve knowledge sharing and resource pooling.

Preservation of Local Identity: Encouraging businesses to integrate local culture and heritage into their products and services not only adds value but also preserves the unique identity of the area.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research has illuminated the transformative potential of community-based entrepreneurship in unlocking rural economic potential in West

Java. The integration of qualitative insights and quantitative indicators has facilitated a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon, revealing the critical role of community empowerment, local resource utilization, and social capital in driving economic growth and job creation. The findings reinforce the theoretical framework of community-based entrepreneurship as a catalyst for sustainable development and highlight its alignment with local culture and heritage.

The study underscores the importance of targeted policies that support rural entrepreneurs by addressing challenges such as limited access to financing and inadequate infrastructure. It also emphasizes the significance of capacity-building programs to equip local entrepreneurs with the skills necessary for navigating competitive markets. By fostering collaboration and networking among entrepreneurs, the development of social capital can be nurtured, enhancing knowledge exchange and resource sharing.

REFERENCE

- [1] X. Ngumbela, "WOMEN ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN TOURISM: THE CASE OF THE BUFFALO CITY METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY IN THE EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE, SOUTH AFRICA," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Econ.*, no. 2 (42), 2023.
- [2] A. Durán-Sánchez, M. de la C. Del Río, J. Álvarez-García, and D. F. García-Vélez, "Mapping of scientific coverage on education for Entrepreneurship in Higher Education," *J. Enterprising Communities People Places Glob. Econ.*, vol. 13, no. 1/2, pp. 84–104, 2019.
- [3] M. M. Khyareh and H. Amini, "Governance quality, entrepreneurship and economic growth," *J. Compet.*, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 41, 2021.
- [4] E. K. Wikarta, "TOWARDS GREEN ECONOMY: THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING, THE CASE ON UPPER CITARUM RIVER BASIN WEST JAVA PROVINCE INDONESIA," *Ecodevelopment*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2022.
- [5] K. E. A. Saputro, Hasim, L. Karlinasari, and I. S. Beik, "Evaluation of Sustainable Rural Tourism Development with an Integrated Approach Using MDS and ANP Methods: Case Study in Ciamis, West Java, Indonesia," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 1835, 2023.
- [6] M. A. Isa *et al.*, "Assessing the sustainable development of micro-hydro power plants in an isolated traditional village west java, indonesia," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 20, p. 6456, 2021.
- [7] F. Nofiyanti, D. Z. Nasution, D. Octarina, and R. M. W. A. Pradhipta, "Local Wisdom for Sustainable Rural Tourism: The Case Study of North Tugu Village, West Java Indonesia," in *E3S Web of Conferences*, 2021, vol. 232, p. 2031.
- [8] E. D. Ramanesty and A. Prasetyo, "The Impact of Financial Structure, Inflation, and Economical Growth on Non-performing Financing at Islamic Rural Bank in West Java 2011-2015," *Int. J. Innov. Creat. Chang.*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 476–485, 2020.
- [9] L. Warlina, E. Soeryanto Soegoto, S. Supatmi, D. Oktafiani, and R. Jatnika, "Regional competitive advantage of agriculture as the leading sector in Garut Regency, West Java province, Indonesia," *J. East. Eur. Cent. Asian Res.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2023.
- [10] S. Valchovska and G. Watts, "Interpreting community-based enterprise: A case study from rural Wales," *J. Soc. Entrep.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/19420676.2016.1158731.
- [11] B. Wallace, "Exploring the meaning (s) of sustainability for community-based social entrepreneurs," *Soc. Enterp. J.*, 2005, doi: 10.1108/17508610580000708.
- [12] R. Lalkaka, "Technology business incubators to help build an innovation-based economy," *J. Chang. Manag.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 167–176, 2002, doi: 10.1080/714042533.
- [13] N. Apostolopoulos, R. Newbery, and M. Gkartziros, "Social enterprise and community resilience: Examining a Greek response to turbulent times," *J. Rural Stud.*, 2019.
- [14] J. Mahato, M. K. Jha, and S. Verma, "The role of social capital in developing sustainable micro-entrepreneurship among rural women in India: a theoretical framework," *Int. J. Innov.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 504–526, 2022.
- [15] H. M. Haugh and A. Talwar, "Linking social entrepreneurship and social change: The mediating role of empowerment," *Journal of business ethics*. Springer, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s10551-014-2449-4.
- [16] M. M. Senou and J. Manda, "Access to finance and rural youth entrepreneurship in Benin: Is there a gender gap?," *African Dev. Rev.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 29–41, 2022.
- [17] R. D. Putnam, "Social capital and public affairs," *Bull. Am. Acad. Arts Sci.*, pp. 5–19, 1994.
- [18] D. Isenberg and V. Onyemah, "Fostering scale up ecosystems for regional economic growth," in *Global Entrepreneurship Congress*, 2016, pp. 71–97.
- [19] D. J. Isenberg, "How to start an entrepreneurial revolution," *Harv. Bus. Rev.*, vol. 88, no. 6, pp. 40–50, 2010.
- [20] D. J. Isenberg, "Applying the ecosystem metaphor to entrepreneurship: Uses and abuses," *Antitrust Bull.*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 564–573, 2016.
- [21] A. Octavia, S. Indrawijaya, Y. Sriayudha, and H. Hasbullah, "Impact on E-commerce adoption on entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation in business performance of SMEs," *Asian Econ. Financ. Rev.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 516–525, 2020.
- [22] L. E. Metcalf, T. M. Katona, and J. L. York, *University Startup Accelerators: Startup Launchpads or Vehicles for Entrepreneurial Learning?*, vol. 4, no. 4. 2021. doi: 10.1177/2515127420931753.
- [23] N. Elert, F. W. Andersson, and K. Wennberg, "The impact of entrepreneurship education in high school on long-term entrepreneurial performance," *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.*, vol. 111, pp. 209–223, 2015.

- [24] D. Prša, S. Orsag, and T. Milun, "Short-term financing and the impact of trade credit on the profitability of small and medium enterprises in the Republic of Croatia," *Ekon. Vjesn. Rev. Contemp. Entrep. Business, Econ. Issues*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 17–30, 2022.
- [25] T. Cherkos, M. Zegeye, S. Tilahun, and M. Avvari, "Examining significant factors in micro and small enterprises performance: case study in Amhara region, Ethiopia," *J. Ind. Eng. Int.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 227–239, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s40092-017-0221-y.
- [26] H. B. Rogo, M. N. M. Shariff, and M. H. Hafeez, "Moderating effect of access to finance on the relationship between total quality management, market orientation and small and medium enterprises performance: A proposed framework," *Int. Rev. Manag. Mark.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 119–127, 2017.
- [27] W. Act, "Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Title I Youth Program | XXXX XX, 2021," *Policy*. content.govdelivery.com, 2021.
- [28] H. D. Kantis, J. S. Federico, and S. I. García, "Entrepreneurship policy and systemic conditions: Evidence-based implications and recommendations for emerging countries," *Socioecon. Plann. Sci.*, vol. 72, p. 100872, 2020.
- [29] A. W. Ng, T. C. H. Leung, and A. K. T. Tsang, "Social enterprise for elderly housing: policy for accountability and public-private responsible financing," *J. Popul. Ageing*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12062-018-9235-5.
- [30] Y. Wei, "Regional governments and opportunity entrepreneurship in underdeveloped institutional environments: An entrepreneurial ecosystem perspective," *Res. Policy*, vol. 51, no. 1, p. 104380, 2022.
- [31] J. W. Creswell, "Research Desain: Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kualitatif, Dan Mixed (Edisi Ket)."
Yogyakarta, 2013.