Implementation of Balanced Scorecard in Corporate Strategic Management

Eva Yuniarti Utami¹, Rosita Mei Damayanti², Diah Pramesti³, Dama Yuninata⁴, Rohmawan Adi Pratama⁵

1,2,3,4,5Universitas Sebelas Maret

Article Info

Article history:

Received February 2023 Revised February 2023 Accepted February 2023

Keywords:

BSC

Corporate Strategic Management

ABSTRACT

This study looks into how the Balanced Scorecard (BSC) was implemented in a West Javan manufacturing company and how it affected strategic management and organizational performance. A mixed-methods approach was used, integrating quantitative data obtained from surveys and performance measures with qualitative data from in-depth interviews and document analysis. The qualitative results draw attention to the intricate implementation process, which includes communication tactics, strategic goal-setting, and ongoing modification. Difficulties were noted, including resistance to change and worries about an increase in workload. Positive employee attitudes, greater alignment with company goals, and advancements in financial and operational measures are all indicated by the quantitative outcomes. The study offers insightful information to companies thinking about implementing BSC, highlighting the significance of open communication, staff involvement, and ongoing adaption for success.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Eva Yuniarti Utami

Institution: Universitas Sebelas Maret e-mail: eva.yuniarti.utami@staff.uns.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

An organization's actions may be coordinated with its vision and strategy by using the Balanced Scorecard (BSC), a strategic management tool. In addition to offering performance metrics, it offers a framework that assists planners in determining what has to be done and monitored. Executives can effectively implement their plans thanks to it [1].

The financial, customer, internal process, and learning and growth views are the four interrelated viewpoints into which the BSC method breaks down an organization's goals. Every perspective has

different objectives and metrics. Operating income, return on capital employed, and economic value added are a few examples of the metrics used in the financial viewpoint [2]–[6]. Customer retention rates and satisfaction are the main concerns from the customer's standpoint. Measures including cost, throughput, and quality are examined from the internal process perspective. Finally, employee happiness and retention, together with skills and capacities, are the main foci of the learning and growth approach [1].

The BSC is not just a measurement system but a management system that can motivate breakthrough improvements in such

critical areas as product, process, customer, and market development. It provides the framework and the language that executives can use to communicate their strategy to all levels of the organization and to describe the links between the strategy and the measures that everyone can understand [1]. In a study conducted on the economic company SOMIPHOS, it was found that there is a significant statistically relationship knowledge management to the strategic performance in the institution under study. This suggests that the BSC can be an effective tool in aligning knowledge management initiatives with the strategic objectives of an organization, thereby enhancing performance [1].

The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is a strategic management instrument that facilitates organizational navigation within the intricate global business environment by effectively matching operational activities with strategic objectives. The framework presented offers a complete approach that considers multiple perspectives, allowing firms to attain sustainable growth and gain a competitive edge.

The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is a strategic management paradigm that was initially proposed by Kaplan and Norton during the early 1990s. The approach outlined offers a thorough framework for evaluating and overseeing performance, with a particular emphasis on key performance indicators that have a direct impact on the overall organizational strategy. [7]. The BSC includes four key perspectives: financial, customer, internal processes, and learning and growth [8].

The financial perspective focuses on financial performance measures that are traditionally used by organizations. emphasizes customer perspective the importance of customer satisfaction and measures how well an organization is meeting the needs of its customers. The internal processes perspective looks at the efficiency and effectiveness organization's operations. Lastly, the learning and growth perspective focuses on

organization's ability to innovate and improve [7]. Despite its widespread adoption, the BSC has faced criticism. Some argue that it fails to balance the interests of stakeholders, lacks evidence indicating that its adoption results in improved financial performance, and that the cause-effect relationship touted by Kaplan and Norton is not established [9]. Others argue that the BSC's focus on financial metrics can lead to a misfit between customer experience and financial performance [8].

In response to these criticisms, some have suggested modifications to the BSC. For example, the Sustainability Balanced Scorecard (SBSC) incorporates sustainability issues into the BSC framework. This can be done by adding additional performance perspectives to deal with strategically important sustainability issues, incorporating sustainability aspects within the original four performance perspectives, or a combination of both [7]. The BSC has also been adapted for use in different sectors. For instance, it has used to assess the institutional sustainability of educational institutions [10], and a framework has been developed for its application public schools Furthermore, the BSC methodology has been integrated into the strategic management process for big data initiatives [12].

In conclusion, while the BSC has its limitations and has faced criticism, it remains a valuable tool for strategic management. Its flexibility allows it to be adapted and modified to suit different organizational contexts and to incorporate emerging issues such as sustainability and big data.

The strategic environment is heterogeneous, which causes differences in how management tools like the Balanced Scorecard are applied among various industries and geographical areas. The use of the Balanced Scorecard at a manufacturing business in a bustling West Javan industrial area is the particular subject of this study. Understanding the complexities involved in integrating the Balanced Scorecard into this industrial business's strategic management framework is the primary goal.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Balanced Scorecard and Strategic Management Framework

The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is a strategic management instrument that offers a holistic assessment of an organization's performance through the incorporation of four distinct perspectives: financial, customer, internal processes, and learning and growth. Every viewpoint possesses a distinct collection of measurements that contribute to comprehensive evaluation organization's performance. The financial perspective encompasses key performance indicators such as the rate of revenue growth, the level of cost efficiency, and the measure of return on investment. These metrics offer a concise representation of the organization's economic sustainability and fiscal well-being. [13], [14].

The customer perspective focuses on metrics related to customer lovalty, satisfaction, and market share. These indicators help align the organization's strategy with customer needs and expectations, and they reflect the organization's ability to meet these expectations [13]–[17]. The internal processes perspective promotes the identification of crucial processes within businesses and the establishment of metrics that facilitate ongoing enhancement and innovation. These metrics assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the internal operations of the company [13], [14].

The learning and growth perspective focuses on human capital, technological capabilities, and organizational culture. These indicators measure the organization's ability to adapt, innovate, and prepare for future success [13], [14]. The BSC has been applied in various sectors, including education and aviation, to improve performance and strategic planning. For instance, in the education sector, the BSC has been used to measure the performance of school principals and improve the management of educational institutions [13], [18], [19]. In the aviation sector, the BSC has been used to enhance

strategic planning processes and improve the quality of operations [20], [21].

2.2 Implementation & Challenges of the Balanced Scorecard

Implementing the Balanced Scorecard (BSC) can be challenging, and organizations often face several obstacles Successful during the process. implementation requires strong commitment and support from top management. This includes providing necessary resources, setting clear expectations, and actively participating in the process [22]. Organizations must clearly communicate their strategic objectives and align them with employee roles and responsibilities. This involves ensuring that all employees understand the BSC framework and its relevance to their work [23]. The success of the BSC depends on the enthusiastic participation and buy-in from employees at all levels. Engaging employees in the process of defining and understanding their roles in achieving strategic objectives is crucial [23].

Introducing the BSC often encounters resistance from employees accustomed to traditional performance measurement systems. Overcoming this resistance requires effective change management strategies and communication [24]. Organizations must carefully choose indicators that align with strategic goals and can be measured reliably. This involves identifying appropriate key performance indicators (KPIs) and ensuring that they are relevant and actionable [25]. Implementing the BSC may require advanced information technology systems to collect, analyze, and report performance data. may face challenges Organizations acquiring or upgrading their IT infrastructure to support the BSC [25].

Employees need to be trained and educated on the BSC framework, its objectives, and how it relates to their work. This may involve providing training sessions, workshops, or other educational resources [26]. To overcome these challenges, organizations should focus on strong leadership support, effective communication, employee engagement, change management

strategies, careful selection of performance indicators, investment in IT infrastructure, and continuous training and awareness programs [22]–[26].

2.3 Impact on Strategic Management

The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is a strategic management tool that helps organizations align their activities with their strategic goals. It provides a framework for managing and measuring performance from multiple perspectives, including financial, customer, internal process, and learning and growth [27], [28].

The BSC enhances strategic focus by linking performance indicators directly to strategic goals. This ensures that everyone in the organization understands the strategic and objectives how their individual contributions support these objectives [28]. For example, in the case of Iraqi SMEs, the adoption of the Agile-Adaptive Balanced (AABSC) Scorecard as part Management Accounting System (MAS) has helped strengthen the system and improve decision-making [29]. The BSC also promotes communication across different levels of the organization. It helps in translating and operationalizing strategic objectives, balancing and aligning between areas and departments towards a common goal [30]. This is particularly useful in the context of corporate communications, where the BSC can be used to align organizational strategies with stakeholder management [28].

Moreover, the BSC contributes to strategic alignment, especially concerning the ability to communicate strategic priorities. It also plays a role in influencing the motivation, awareness, and behavior of employees [30]. The BSC technique has been used to the context of investments in renewable energy to determine the most critical performanceenhancing criteria while accounting for nonfinancial factors as well. By identifying and evaluating significant factors that influence service quality and assisting in decisionmaking related to service development, the application of the BSC in the healthcare industry has been found to increase the quality of health services [31]. Similarly, the BSC has been used to assess postimplementation advantages and problems in the Finance and Accounting Outsourcing (FAO) industry [32].

3. METHODS

The present study employed a mixedapproaches research design, which involves the integration of both qualitative and quantitative research methods. The utilization of a mixed methods approach facilitated a thorough comprehension of the application of the Balanced Scorecard (BSC) within specific manufacturing enterprises located in West Java. By integrating the respective merits of quantitative qualitative and data, comprehensive and multifaceted understanding of the implementation process, the encountered obstacles, and their implications for strategic management and performance may be attained.

The researchers opted for a case study design in order to conduct a comprehensive investigation of the adoption of the Balanced Scorecard in manufacturing enterprises located in West Java. The utilization of the case study approach affords an advantageous avenue for conducting comprehensive investigations into the organizational context, hence enabling a meticulous examination of the complexities and unique aspects of the implementation process.

3.1 Sampling

3.1.1 Case Selection

Manufacturing companies in West Java were purposively selected based on willingness to participate and relevance to the research objectives. The selection criteria included company size, industry, and level of Balanced Scorecard implementation. This purposive sampling ensured that the case study provided rich and meaningful insights into the research questions.

3.1.2 Participant Selection

Key stakeholders in the selected manufacturing companies will be involved in this research. This includes top management, department heads, employees at various levels, and potential customers. In-depth interviews, surveys, and document analysis

will be conducted to collect data from the participants.

3.2 Data Collection 3.2.1 Qualitative Data

Interviews: Α series comprehensive interviews were carried out with key individuals who played a significant role in the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard. This encompasses individuals in senior leadership positions, heads of various departments, and personnel occupying a range of jobs and responsibilities. The interviews will be conducted in a semistructured format, which offers a degree of flexibility in investigating the viewpoints and experiences of the participants with regards to the implementation process.

The analysis will focus on pertinent documents, including strategic plans, performance reports, and internal communications. The objective this document analysis is to offer further perspectives on the historical backdrop and progression of the Balanced Scorecard inside the company.

3.2.2 Quantitative Data

In order to collect measurable information about how personnel at various hierarchical levels felt about the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard, surveys were distributed to them. The survey items were designed with the aim of evaluating workers' understanding of the Balanced Scorecard framework, its suitability their individual roles, and their viewpoints about the impact of its execution on their duties. A total of 180 employees participated in the study.

Performance metrics: The collection and analysis of key performance indicators from the Balanced Scorecard will be conducted within a designated timeframe. The provided quantitative data offers valuable insights into the variations observed in performance measures prior to and subsequent to the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard.

3.3 Data Analysis

3.3.1 Qualitative Analysis

Thematic Analysis: Thematic analysis was used to qualitative data obtained through document analysis and interviews. In order to provide an organized and knowledge of the implementation process and difficulties encountered, this required finding, evaluating, and reporting patterns (themes) in the data.

3.3.2 Quantitative Analysis

Descriptive Statistics: Quantitative data from the survey will be analyzed using descriptive statistics. This includes measures as averages, frequencies, percentages to summarize and describe key features of the data.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section integrates qualitative and quantitative results provide to comprehensive understanding of Balanced Scorecard (BSC) implementation in selected manufacturing companies in West Java. The discussion explores the implications of the findings, their alignment with existing literature, and their significance organizational strategy and performance.

4.1 Quantitative Results

4.1.1 Employee Perceptions

Quantitative data from the employee generally showed a positive understanding of the Balanced Scorecard (78%). The majority of employees (85%) considered the BSC relevant to their daily tasks. However, there were concerns about increased workload among 35% respondents. This suggests the need for communication and strategies to address potential challenges associated with implementation.

4.1.2 Impact on Work

The significant increase in employees' perceived alignment with organizational goals post BSC implementation (65%) aligns with the expected outcomes of the framework. This suggests that BSC positively influences employees' understanding their contribution to the overall goal.

55

4.1.3 Performance Metrics

Quantitative analysis of performance metrics across financial, customer, internal learning growth process, and and perspectives indicated positive results attributed to BSC implementation. Improvements in revenue growth, cost efficiency, customer satisfaction, and internal processes demonstrate the effectiveness of **BSC** in improving organizational performance.

4.2 Qualitative Results

4.2.1 Implementation Process

Qualitative findings explored the BSC implementation process. Strategic goal setting and alignment are fundamental, involving top management collaboration. Communication and training play important role fostering employee in understanding, while integration into daily operations and continuous monitoring ensure adaptability.

4.2.2 Challenges Faced

Resistance to change emerged as a significant challenge during the initial stages, requiring transparent communication and change management strategies. Gaining buyin from employees was critical, with some employees expressing skepticism about the relevance of BSC to their roles. The selection and measurement of performance indicators challenging, requiring careful was consideration and clear communication.

Discussion

The integration of quantitative and qualitative results provides a holistic view of the impact of the Balanced Scorecard. Positive alignment reported by employees aligns with quantitative improvements in financial and operational metrics, indicating synergy between employee perceptions and organizational results.

Identified challenges, as resistance to change and concerns about workload, underscore increased complexity of BSC implementation. Strategies to overcome these challenges should include clear communication, employee engagement, and ongoing support.

Practical Implications

These findings practical have implications for organizations considering or **BSC** implementation. The undergoing importance of transparent communication, strategies to overcome resistance, ongoing monitoring and adaptation are critical to success.

Limitations

Limitations of this research include the focus on a single case study, thus limiting generalizability. In addition, self-reported data in the survey may introduce bias, and the relatively short timeframe of the study may not capture long-term sustainability.

Implications and Recommendations

Practitioners can take insights from study improve their **BSC** this implementation strategies. **Emphasizing** transparent communication, addressing employee concerns, and ensuring continuous adaptation are important.

CONCLUSION

The investigation into the application of the Balanced Scorecard in the West Javan manufacturing company has produced insightful information on the challenges strategic associated with changing management practices. Improvements in financial and operational measures, alignment with corporate goals, employee attitudes are all positively impacted by the integration of qualitative and The quantitative data. implementation process requires focused tactics due to identified challenges such as reluctance to change and concerns about increasing workload. Practical ramifications emphasize how crucial it is to have open lines of communication and constant assistance. The study adds to the growing body of knowledge on the successful use of strategic frameworks such as the Balanced Scorecard by providing both academic insights and useful suggestions as firms continue to navigate strategic management.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Hassine and A. Zine, "The impact of knowledge management on the strategic performance in economic company (SOMIPHOS) Based on the third-generation Balanced Scorecard (BSC III)," *Milev J. Res. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 363–383, 2018
- [2] D. Budiman, Y. Iskandar, and A. Y. Jasuni, "Millennials' Development Strategy Agri-Socio-Preneur in West Java," in *International Conference on Economics, Management and Accounting (ICEMAC 2021)*, Atlantis Press, 2022, pp. 315–323.
- [3] Kurniawan, A. Maulana, and Y. Iskandar, "The Effect of Technology Adaptation and Government Financial Support on Sustainable Performance of MSMEs during the COVID-19 Pandemic," Cogent Bus. Manag., vol. 10, no. 1, p. 2177400, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2177400.
- [4] Y. Iskandar, J. Joeliaty, U. Kaltum, and H. Hilmiana, "Systematic review of the barriers to social enterprise performance using an institutional framework," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 2124592, 2022.
- [5] S. Supriandi and Y. Iskandar, "Strategic Business Development of Polosan Mas Ibing with the Business Model Canvas Approach," in *International Conference on Economics, Management and Accounting (ICEMAC 2021)*, Atlantis Press, 2022, pp. 164–179.
- [6] T. P. Nugrahanti, "Risk assessment and earning management in banking of Indonesia: corporate governance mechanisms," Glob. J. Bus. Soc. Sci. Rev., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2016.
- [7] S. Rašić-Jelavić and M. Pajdaković-Vulić, "Sustainability balanced scorecard: Four performance perspectives or more?," Strateg. Manag., vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 37–49, 2021.
- [8] G. S. Dhameeth and L. Diasz, "The role of managerial Accountants and the implementation and monitoring of the balanced scorecard metrics: a literature review," *Res. Econ. Manag.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 28–40, 2021.
- [9] H. Waruhiu, "Rebalancing the Balanced Scorecard: a sequel to Kaplan and Norton," Eur. J. Bus. Manag., vol. 6, no. 29, pp. 116–124, 2014.
- [10] S. Enriquez, "Instutitional sustainability of St. Paul University Philippines using the four perspectives of the balanced scorecard," *Int. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–33, 2021.
- [11] P. H. De Oliveira, M. A. C. Paschoalotto, D. V. de Godoy Delmônico, F. de Souza Coelho, F. C. A. Santos, and E. Escrivão Filho, "Strategic management in public administration: A balanced scorecard applicability framework in school management," Futur. Stud. Res. J. Trends Strateg., vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 458–482, 2020.
- [12] M. Alnoukari, "A framework for big data integration within the strategic management process based on a balanced scorecard methodology," *J. Intell. Stud. Bus.*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021.
- [13] N. Ruhviyanti, S. Dioputra, and A. P. Rodji, "Implementation of Balanced Scorecard in Improving Private Universities' Performance," Int. J. Soc. Serv. Res., vol. 3, no. 8, pp. 441–446, 2023.
- [14] I. Vanany, "Aplikasi Analytic Network Process (ANP) pada perancangan sistem pengukuran kinerja (studi kasus pada PT. X)," J. Tek. Ind., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 50–62, 2003.
- [15] U. B. Jaman, "Legal Analysis of The Impact of Industrial Development on The Environment," Easta J. Law Hum. Rights, vol. 1, no. 03, pp. 87–92, 2023.
- [16] M. B. Legowo, H. D. Widiiputra, and T. P. Nugrahanti, "Pelatihan Penyusunan Laporan Keuangan Berbasis Aplikasi Digital Untuk UMKM di Wilayah Jakarta Timur," *J. Abdimas Perbanas*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 76–90, 2021.
- [17] Y. Iskandar and U. Kaltum, "BARRIERS AND DRIVERS OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISE PERFORMANCE IN INDONESIA'S SOCIAL ENTERPRISES: A QUALITATIVE STUDY WITH OWNERS AND MANAGERS," J. Bisnisman Ris. Bisnis dan Manaj., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 54–67, 2021.
- [18] R. L. Sahar and A. Chirzun, "Perancangan Kinerja Kepala Sekolah Menengah Atas dalam Perspektif Balanced Scorecard (BSC) Menggunakan Analytical Network Process (ANP)(Studi Kasus: SMA ABC Jakarta)," J. Al-Azhar Indones. Seri Sains dan Teknol., vol. 7, no. 1, p. 443013, 2022.
- [19] S. T. Noor, "Al Lathif Islamic School Principal Performance Analysis In Balanced Scorecard Perspective," Devot. J. Community Serv., vol. 3, no. 13, pp. 2368–2387, 2022.
- [20] M. Alloghani, A. Hussain, D. Al-Jumeily, A. Aljaaf, and N. AlShamsi, "Development of an interactive system to enhance strategic planning process and quality of aviation operations using balanced scorecard: a UAE case study," in 2017 10th International Conference on Developments in eSystems Engineering (DeSE), IEEE, 2017, pp. 201–205.
- [21] D. Erdogan and E. Kaya, "Understanding performance indicators of organizational achievement in Turkish airline companies," *J. Manag. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 109–123, 2014.
- [22] T. Nigussie, "The Practices and Challenges of Balanced Scorecard Implementation in Municipality Service Delivery in Injibara Town Awi Zone, Ethiopia".
- [23] G. Klinck and M. E. Moraka, "Evaluating the level of employee engagement in strategy implementation using the Balanced Scorecard," J. Din. Sos. Budaya, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 82–90, 2019.
- [24] E. M. N. Salem, "Journal of University Studies for inclusive Research Vol. 4, Issue 4 (2022), 3116-3142 USRIJ Pvt. Ltd.," J. Univ. Stud. Incl. Res., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 3116–3142, 2022.
- [25] Y. A. Abdalla, A. M. Ibrahim, A. A. Lasyoud, and M. H. Warsame, "Barriers of implementing the balanced scorecard: Evidence from the banking sector in the developing market," J. Gov. Regul., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 173–180, 2022.
- [26] A. Yemeshvary Ashok Upadhyay and S. Palo, "Engaging employees through balanced scorecard implementation," *Strateg. HR Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 302–307, 2013.
- [27] Y. Xie, Y. Zhou, Y. Peng, H. Dinçer, S. Yüksel, and P. an Xiang, "An extended pythagorean fuzzy approach to group decision-making with incomplete preferences for analyzing balanced scorecard-based renewable energy investments," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 43020–43035, 2021.

- [28] C. Oliveira, A. Martins, M. A. Camilleri, and S. Jayantilal, "Using the balanced scorecard for strategic communication and performance management," in *Strategic corporate communication in the digital age*, Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021, pp. 73–88.
- [29] A. Mohammed, S. Sulaiman, and N. Md Zin, "Exploring the agile-adaptive balanced scorecard benefits towards improving the management accounting system: a case study of Iraqi SMEs," Soc. Manag. Res. J., vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 139– 168, 2022.
- [30] S. R. de Sousa, I. Tammela, and H. Cosenza, "The contribution of the balanced scorecard to strategic alignment: a systematic literature review adapted for design science research".
- [31] J. F. H. Saragih, S. A. Nugraheni, and M. S. Adi, "Benefits of Using SWOT Analysis and Balanced Scorecard for the Development of the Quality of Health Services: Narrative Review," J. Aisyah J. Ilmu Kesehat., vol. 7, no. S1, pp. 263–272, 2022.
- [32] D. N. Samudrage and D. T. Jayewardene, "Post-Implementation Benefits and Challenges of the Balanced Scorecard: Evidence from the Finance and Accounting Outsourcing Sector," 2019.