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ABSTRACT

The Indonesian television industry is undergoing significant transformation fueled by technological innovation and evolving regulatory frameworks surrounding intellectual property rights (IPR) protection. This research investigates the regulatory role of IPR protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian television sector through quantitative analysis. A sample of 150 stakeholders representing various industry segments participated in the study. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression analysis were employed to examine the relationships between IPR protection, technological innovation, and creativity. The findings reveal positive correlations between IPR protection, technological innovation, and creativity, with stronger IPR protection and greater technological innovation associated with higher levels of creativity. Regression analysis further demonstrates the predictive power of IPR protection and technological innovation on creative output within the industry. These results underscore the importance of supportive regulatory environments and technological advancement in fostering creativity and innovation within the Indonesian television industry.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's television industry is facing significant changes due to technological advancements and an evolving creative landscape. These changes have led to shifts in viewer preferences and consumption patterns, necessitating the protection and promotion of creativity to maintain competitiveness and cultural vibrancy \[1\]–\[3\]. The industry is experiencing competition from digital platforms, streaming services, and innovative content formats, which have reshaped the media landscape \[4\]. To overcome these challenges, the industry needs to adapt and innovate, especially in terms of content production and distribution \[5\], \[6\]. In addition, there is a need for regulation and oversight to ensure the quality and balance of news programs, as well as to prevent the manipulation of news content. Overall, nurturing creativity and embracing technological advancements are essential for Indonesia's television industry to thrive in an ever-evolving media landscape.

A strong intellectual property rights (IPR) framework, including copyright laws, licensing agreements, and enforcement mechanisms, is essential to encourage investment in original content production, foster innovation, and ensure fair compensation for creative endeavors \[7\]. However, successful IPR protection depends not only on the legal framework but also on implementation, enforcement, and adaptability to technological advances \[8\], \[9\]. The management of IPR in the digital world has become a pressing issue, especially about digital rights management and royalty payments \[10\]. Blockchain technology, with its smart contracts and immutable environment, shows
promise in addressing these challenges and streamlining IPR management [11]. In the context of over-the-top (OTT) platforms such as Netflix, the transfer of intellectual property rights from production companies to platforms raises concerns about the impact on creators [12]. Strengthening the bargaining power of production companies and providing resources for creators to defend their IP could be a potential solution to address this issue.

Technological innovation has revolutionized the television industry, offering new opportunities for content creation and audience engagement. However, it has also brought challenges such as content piracy and digital rights management issues. Balancing intellectual property rights protection and technological innovation is crucial. A nuanced understanding of the regulatory mechanisms in Indonesia is essential for promoting innovation while safeguarding intellectual property rights [3], [13]. Robust intellectual property rights frameworks are important for incentivizing creativity and investment, but technological advancements can either enhance or undermine their protection [11], [14]. Fostering creativity requires supportive institutional environments that encourage experimentation, collaboration, and risk-taking [15]. The regulatory landscape in Indonesia is complex, and balancing the interests of rights holders, content creators, consumers, and technological innovators is challenging [16]. Effective strategies for promoting innovation and safeguarding intellectual property rights in the Indonesian television industry require a comprehensive understanding of these dynamics [17], [18].

In response to these challenges, this research endeavors to quantitatively analyze the regulatory role of intellectual property rights protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian television industry. By examining the relationships between these variables through empirical analysis, this study seeks to offer actionable insights for policymakers, industry practitioners, and scholars. Through a mixed-methods approach integrating statistical analysis with qualitative assessments, this research aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the regulatory dynamics shaping the television industry in Indonesia.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Intellectual Property Rights Protection in the Television Industry

The television industry relies on intellectual property rights (IPR) protection, including copyright, trademarks, and patents, to safeguard the rights of creators, producers, and broadcasters. Copyright protection is particularly crucial in the television industry as it grants exclusive rights to creators over their original works, such as television programs, scripts, and audiovisual content [11], [12], [19]. These legal mechanisms ensure the sustainability and growth of the industry by allowing creators to control the use and distribution of their works, providing them with economic incentives and recognition for their creativity [20], [21]. Copyright laws also play a role in addressing issues related to the transfer of intellectual property rights between production companies and platforms, as seen in the case of video content rights in the OTT era. Overall, intellectual property rights are fundamental to the television industry's ability to protect and monetize its creative output, fostering innovation and supporting the development of the cultural and entertainment sectors.

2.2 Technological Innovation in Television Production and Distribution

Technological innovation has had a significant impact on the market structure of the media industry, especially in terms of ownership concentration and competition [22]. The adoption of television and cable television technology has been shown to cause changes in the market structure of the media industry [23]. However, there are challenges associated with collecting enough data to reach solid conclusions [24]. The use of big data has also emerged as a potential tool to improve business, with the market value expected to continue to increase [25]. Overall, technological innovation is an
2.3 Creativity and Content Innovation

Creativity is a crucial factor in the television industry, driving the development of innovative content and engaging experiences [27]. The digital broadcast environment has significantly impacted content creation, distribution, and consumption, presenting both challenges and opportunities for content creators [28]. Organizations can enhance employee creativity by providing perceived organizational support and fostering a meaningful work environment [29], [30]. Authentic leadership plays a vital role in encouraging creativity by creating a positive and supportive atmosphere [31].

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

To conduct an empirical analysis of the regulatory impact of technical innovation and intellectual property rights protection on creativity in the Indonesian television business, this study used a quantitative research design. Data from important players in the television industry, such as content creators, producers, broadcasters, regulatory bodies, and technology suppliers, will be gathered through a cross-sectional survey approach. The purpose of the survey questionnaire is to gather data on awareness of intellectual property rights, use of technology, creative processes, and perceptions of regulations.

3.2 Sampling Strategy

This study's target demographic consists of people and organizations that work in different areas of the Indonesian television industry. To achieve representation across many sectors, such as content development, broadcasting, technology provision, and regulatory control, a stratified random selection technique will be utilized. Technological sophistication, geography, industry sector, and firm size are examples of stratification factors. To provide adequate statistical power and precision in the analysis, a sample size of 150 respondents will be chosen based on the projected population size. Proportionate distribution of the sample across strata will guarantee sufficient representation of varied viewpoints in the business.

3.3 Data Collection

Primary data will be collected through structured surveys administered to the selected sample of stakeholders. The survey instrument will be designed to elicit responses on key variables related to intellectual property rights protection, technological innovation, and creativity in the television industry. The questionnaire will include both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions to facilitate quantitative analysis. Data collection procedures will adhere to ethical guidelines, ensuring informed consent, confidentiality, and privacy protection for participants. Survey administration may be conducted through online platforms, email correspondence, or in-person interviews, depending on the preferences and accessibility of respondents.

3.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis for this study will involve utilizing the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 software. Quantitative data obtained from the survey will undergo descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and regression analysis to explore the relationships between intellectual property rights protection, technological innovation, and creativity within the Indonesian television industry. Descriptive statistics will summarize sample population characteristics, including demographic details, industry affiliations, and perceptions on intellectual property and innovation. This will involve computing frequency distributions, measures of central tendency, and dispersion to offer a comprehensive overview of the data. Inferential statistics, such as correlation analysis and hypothesis testing, will evaluate the strength and significance of associations between variables, employing correlation coefficients like Pearson's r or Spearman's rho to assess the relationships among intellectual property rights protection, technological innovation,
and creativity. Additionally, hypothesis tests like t-tests and analysis of variance (ANOVA) may be performed to compare means across different groups or variables. Regression analysis will be employed to estimate the regulatory impact of intellectual property rights protection and technological innovation on creativity in the Indonesian television industry. Multiple regression models will be constructed to determine the predictive capacity of independent variables (IPR protection, technological innovation) on the dependent variable (creativity), while controlling for relevant covariates and confounding factors.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics provide an overview of the sample characteristics and key variables related to intellectual property rights (IPR) protection, technological innovation, and creativity within the Indonesian television industry. The sample comprised stakeholders from various sectors of the television industry, including content production, broadcasting, technology provision, and regulatory oversight. Respondents represented a mix of small to medium enterprises (35%) and large corporations (65%), reflecting the diversity of organizational structures within the industry. Approximately 60% of respondents were based in urban centers, while the remaining 40% were from rural areas, highlighting the geographical diversity of the sample.

Key variables within the study encompassed intellectual property rights awareness, technological adoption, and perceptions of creativity within the Indonesian television industry. Intellectual property rights awareness revealed that 70% of respondents exhibited a moderate to high familiarity with copyright laws and enforcement mechanisms. Regarding technological adoption, findings indicated that 55% of participants reported utilizing digital production tools, while 40% leveraged streaming platforms for content distribution and audience engagement. Additionally, 30% implemented audience engagement technologies, such as interactive voting systems or social media integration. Perceptions of creativity underscored the industry’s emphasis on original content, with 80% of respondents highlighting its importance in fostering creative storytelling. However, piracy concerns were prevalent, as 65% expressed apprehensions about the unauthorized use of intellectual property. Regulatory constraints were also noted, with 35% of respondents citing their impact on creative freedom and content innovation. These descriptive statistics offer valuable insights into the industry’s current landscape, providing a nuanced understanding of trends, challenges, and opportunities regarding intellectual property rights protection, technological innovation, and creativity within the Indonesian television industry.

4.2 Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis was conducted to explore the relationships between intellectual property rights (IPR) protection, technological innovation, and creativity within the Indonesian television industry, aiming to determine significant associations and their extent. The analysis revealed substantial correlations: between the perceived effectiveness of IPR protection measures and perceived levels of creativity, denoted by a Pearson’s r of 0.65 (p < 0.01), indicating a strong positive association. Similarly, the correlation between technological innovation adoption rates and perceived levels of creativity was statistically significant, with a Pearson’s r of 0.55 (p < 0.05), suggesting a moderate positive association. These findings underscore the interdependency between IPR protection, technological innovation, and creativity, elucidating their pivotal roles in shaping the creative landscape of the Indonesian television industry.

The results of the correlation analysis indicate significant positive correlations between intellectual property rights protection, technological innovation, and creativity within the Indonesian television industry. Organizations that perceived stronger IPR protection measures and higher levels of technological innovation tended to report greater creativity in content production. These findings suggest that robust IPR protection and technological innovation play complementary
4.3 Regression Analysis

Regulatory Impact of IPR Protection and Technological Innovation

Regression analysis was conducted to assess the regulatory impact of intellectual property rights (IPR) protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian television industry, utilizing multiple regression models to examine the predictive power of these variables on creative output while controlling for relevant covariates. The regression model incorporated a dependent variable, Creativity, measured on a scale of 1 to 5, where higher scores denoted greater perceived creativity in content production. Independent variables included Intellectual Property Rights Protection, measured by the perceived effectiveness of IPR protection measures on a Likert scale, and Technological Innovation, represented by adoption rates of technological innovations as a binary variable (1 indicating high adoption and 0 indicating low adoption). Additionally, covariates such as organizational characteristics (e.g., industry sector, organizational size) and demographic variables (e.g., geographic location) were considered. This comprehensive regression approach allowed for an in-depth examination of the regulatory influences of IPR protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian television industry while accounting for potential confounding factors.

The regression analysis yielded significant results indicating the predictive power of intellectual property rights (IPR) protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian television industry. Intellectual property rights protection measures emerged as a significant predictor of creativity in television content production ($\beta = 0.404, p < 0.01$), signifying that organizations perceiving stronger IPR protection reported higher levels of creative output, even after controlling for organizational characteristics and technological adoption rates. Moreover, technological innovation adoption rates exerted a significant positive influence on creativity ($\beta = 0.355, p < 0.05$), indicating that respondents from organizations embracing advanced technologies demonstrated greater creative output. These findings suggest a synergistic relationship between technological innovation and content innovation, emphasizing the importance of both IPR protection and technological advancement in fostering creativity within the Indonesian television industry.

The regression results provide empirical evidence for the regulatory impact of intellectual property rights protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian television industry. Both variables emerged as significant predictors of creative output, highlighting their importance in shaping the regulatory landscape and fostering innovation.

Organizations that perceive stronger IPR protection measures and exhibit higher levels of technological innovation are more likely to produce creative and innovative content. These findings underscore the need for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and regulatory authorities to prioritize measures that enhance intellectual property rights enforcement and promote technological advancement to support a vibrant creative ecosystem within the television industry.

Discussion

The discussion chapter delves into the implications of the research findings, contextualizes them within existing literature, addresses limitations, and offers recommendations for future research and industry practice.

The observed positive correlation between intellectual property rights (IPR) protection, technological innovation, and creativity confirms the importance of regulatory frameworks and technological advancements in fostering creativity in the television industry in Indonesia [16]. This finding is in line with previous research highlighting the interdependence between IPR protection, innovation, and creative outcomes in the media industry [15], [30]. The role of technology in supporting the transformation of the national economy towards an economy based on competitive advantage is a major concern in developed countries [32]. The creative economy sector in Indonesia...
has the potential to be developed, but there needs to be synergy, coordination, and implementation related to financing the intellectual property-based creative economy between government sectors to overcome creative economy problems [17]. The study also emphasized the need for the government to improve the quality of education, build adequate ICT infrastructure, and make regulations that facilitate creative economy actors.

Contributions to Literature
This study contributes to the literature by providing empirical evidence for the regulatory role of IPR protection and technological innovation on creativity within the Indonesian context. By quantitatively analyzing these relationships, the study extends our understanding of the complex dynamics shaping creativity in media industries and offers insights applicable to both academia and industry practice.

Practical Implications
The findings have practical implications for policymakers, industry practitioners, and regulatory authorities. Policymakers can use the results to inform the development of regulatory frameworks that balance the protection of intellectual property rights with the promotion of technological innovation and creative expression. Industry practitioners can leverage the findings to prioritize investments in technology adoption and intellectual property protection strategies to enhance their creative output and competitive advantage.

Limitations
Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations that warrant acknowledgment. Firstly, the research adopts a cross-sectional design, limiting the ability to establish causality between variables. Longitudinal studies could provide deeper insights into the temporal dynamics of regulatory impacts on creativity. Secondly, reliance on self-reported survey data introduces potential biases, such as social desirability bias or measurement error. Future research could incorporate qualitative methods or objective indicators to complement quantitative analysis.

Recommendations for Future Research
Future research could explore the role of specific regulatory interventions, such as copyright reform or technology subsidies, in fostering creativity within the television industry. Additionally, comparative studies across different cultural contexts or media industries could provide insights into the generalizability of the findings. Moreover, research focusing on emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence or virtual reality, could elucidate their potential impacts on creative processes and content innovation.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, this research sheds light on the regulatory dynamics shaping creativity within the Indonesian television industry, emphasizing the interplay between intellectual property rights protection, technological innovation, and creative output. The findings highlight the significant role of robust IPR protection and technological advancement in fostering a conducive environment for creativity and innovation. Policymakers, industry practitioners, and regulatory authorities are encouraged to prioritize measures that enhance intellectual property rights enforcement, promote technological innovation, and support a vibrant creative ecosystem within the television sector. By addressing these regulatory challenges and leveraging technological opportunities, the Indonesian television industry can continue to thrive as a hub of creativity, cultural expression, and economic growth.
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