

Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law in Indonesia: Historical Development and Contemporary Issues

Irman Putra¹, Arief Fahmi Lubis²
^{1,2}Sekolah Tinggi Hukum Militer AHM-PTHM

Article Info

Article history:

Received April, 2024
Revised April, 2024
Accepted April, 2024

Keywords:

Constitutionalism
Rule of Law
Judicial Independence
Human Rights
Legal Reforms

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the historical development and contemporary issues of constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia through juridical analysis and literature review. The study traces the evolution of Indonesian law from the colonial period, through the ratification of the 1945 Constitution, the Guided Democracy and New Order regimes, and the Reformation era. It highlights key milestones and challenges in building a strong constitutional democracy. Contemporary issues such as judicial independence, human rights, and governance are analysed to understand ongoing efforts and obstacles in strengthening the rule of law in Indonesia. By synthesising existing literature and legal texts, this paper provides a comprehensive overview of Indonesia's legal trajectory and current challenges, and offers insights into future reforms needed to enhance constitutional governance.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Irman Putra
Institution: Sekolah Tinggi Hukum Militer AHM-PTHM
e-mail: irman7275@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia has been heavily influenced by historical, political and social dynamics. The journey began during the Dutch colonial period, with significant developments post-independence in 1945, marked by the establishment of the 1945 Constitution, which laid the foundation for a unitary state with a presidential system [1]. Subsequent eras, including the Old Order under Soekarno and the New Order under Suharto, saw a shift from nationalist and anti-capitalist policies to authoritarianism, political stability, and economic growth [1]. The fall of Suharto in 1998 ushered in the Reformasi period, which was marked by significant political reforms that emphasised democracy, human rights, decentralisation,

and increased political participation [1]. The establishment of the Constitutional Court in 2003 was a watershed moment that enhanced the enforcement of the rule of law and safeguarded constitutional supremacy and the fundamental rights of citizens [2]. The Court has played an important role in resolving election disputes and recognising minority rights, guided by the principles of Pancasila [2]. However, the integration of Islamic principles with democratic values presents ongoing challenges, particularly in balancing sharia with civil liberties and human rights [3]. Despite these challenges, there are opportunities for constructive dialogue and compromise to mediate these principles within a democratic framework [3]. The legislature and judiciary also play an important role in maintaining government

effectiveness through oversight, accountability and legal precedent [4]. The protection of constitutional rights, especially in democratic processes such as elections, further emphasises the state's commitment to upholding the rule of law [5].

Indonesia's journey towards constitutionalism began during the Dutch colonial period, where the legal framework that was put in place set the foundation for future legal developments. After independence in 1945, Indonesia adopted the 1945 Constitution, which established the foundations of the Indonesian state as a unitary republic with a presidential system [1]. However, the post-independence period was characterised by significant political turmoil and a shift in the governance model. During the Guided Democracy era under President Sukarno, Indonesia experimented with different constitutional frameworks, including the 1949 RIS Constitution and the 1950 UUDS, which reflected nationalist and anti-capitalist politics [1], [6]. This period was characterised by shifts from a presidential system to a parliamentary system and vice versa, which highlighted the fluidity and instability in government structures [7]. The New Order regime under President Suharto introduced an authoritarian model that emphasised political stability and economic growth, but at the expense of democratic principles and the rule of law [1], [6].

The fall of Suharto in 1998 marked the beginning of the reform era, marked by significant political reforms and amendments to the 1945 Constitution, which emphasised democracy, human rights and decentralisation [1], [8]. The establishment of the Constitutional Court in 2003 further strengthened constitutionalism by safeguarding the supremacy of the Constitution and protecting fundamental human rights [2]. Throughout these periods, Indonesia's constitutional history has been shaped by diversity and the need to accommodate various socio-political dynamics, with powerful political figures often playing an important role in maintaining unity [6]. Reform-era

decentralisation policies have transferred power from the central government to local governments, encouraging more autonomous governance and reflecting the country's commitment to democratic principles [8].

The Reformation Era in Indonesia, which began in 1998 following the resignation of President Soeharto, brought significant constitutional and legal reforms aimed at democratisation, decentralisation, and strengthening of legal institutions. This period marked a change from the centralised and authoritarian New Order government, leading to the implementation of decentralisation of government, which transferred power from the central government to local governments, thus granting wider autonomous authority to the regions [8]. The reformasi movement, fuelled by student activism and public demand, sought to overcome the economic crisis and political repression of the New Order, culminating in the amendment of the 1945 Constitution and the establishment of direct and simultaneous general elections, the first of which was held in 2019 and will continue in 2024 [9], [10]. Despite these advances, contemporary Indonesia faces continuing challenges, including issues of judicial independence and human rights. Bureaucratic reforms aimed at improving governance have been hampered by persistent corruption and weak bureaucratic ethics, necessitating further efforts to ensure the neutrality and integrity of the government apparatus [11]. In addition, the socio-political landscape has become more open, allowing for greater freedom of speech and political debate, but this has also led to the emergence of new challenges such as the rise of Islamism and the need for a balanced role of the state in the economy [12].

This research aims to provide a detailed examination of Indonesia's historical and contemporary experiences with constitutionalism and the rule of law. By analysing key milestones in Indonesia's legal history and reviewing relevant literature, this research seeks to identify the successes and challenges faced in building a strong

constitutional democracy. The research will focus on important aspects such as the role of the judiciary, the protection of human rights, and the impact of political dynamics on legal reform.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *Historical Development of Constitutionalism in Indonesia*

The historical trajectory of constitutionalism in Indonesia is characterised by significant evolutionary phases, which were heavily influenced by the colonial past and subsequent political developments. At first, during the Dutch colonial period, the legal system in Indonesia was heavily influenced by Dutch law, which became the basis for future legal structures [1]. After Indonesia's independence in 1945, the 1945 Constitution became the cornerstone of the country's legal and political order, establishing Indonesia as a unitary state with a presidential system [1]. The Constitutional Court, established in 2003, has played an important role in safeguarding the Constitution, protecting human rights, and ensuring adherence to the rule of law [2].

The Court's exclusive jurisdiction and the finality of its judgements underscore the important role of the Court in constitutional interpretation and legal remedies [13]. The dynamic nature of Indonesia's constitutional development is further highlighted by the significant amendments during the post-1998 Reformasi era, which emphasised democratisation, human rights, decentralisation, and increased political participation [1], [4]. This period also saw the emergence of regional autonomy policies aimed at accommodating Indonesia's diverse society [6]. The interaction between the Constitution, Legislature, and Judiciary became crucial in maintaining the effectiveness of governance, with each pillar contributing to oversight, accountability, and legal precedent [4]. The role of the Constitutional Court, guided by the principles of Pancasila, remains crucial in safeguarding Indonesia's diversity and democratic system,

ensuring its independence from political influence to preserve its integrity and legitimacy [2].

2.2 *The rule of law in Indonesia*

The rule of law in Indonesia is characterised by a complex interplay between legal reform, political dynamics and institutional challenges. The legal system has faced significant hurdles, particularly in implementing reforms in an unstable political environment. The judiciary, as examined in 'Indonesia's Supreme Court: A Study of Institutional Collapse,' is plagued by problems of corruption and inefficiency, which undermine its role in upholding the rule of law. This is also echoed in the broader analysis of the interaction between legal institutions and political power in 'Law and Politics in Modern Indonesia,' which highlights the ongoing struggle for judicial independence amidst political pressure. Efforts to address these challenges include the establishment of the Constitutional Court, which aims to improve judicial oversight and integrity. However, corruption remains a rampant problem, as explained in 'Anti-Corruption Reform in Indonesia: An Insider's View,' which provides an insider's perspective on the successes and setbacks of anti-corruption initiatives, including the role of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) [14].

Despite these efforts, the legal system continues to grapple with formalism, case backlogs, and the need for innovation in judicial decision-making, as discussed in a critique of the legal system and law enforcement in Indonesia [15]. The historical evolution of Indonesian constitutional law, from the Dutch colonial period to the reform era, underscores the dynamic nature of legal development and the influence of political and socio-cultural changes [1]. In addition, the role of the Constitution, Legislature and Judiciary in maintaining the effectiveness of government is crucial, with legislative oversight and judicial review being key components in ensuring accountability and the rule of law [4]. The dichotomy between academic legal scholarship and practical

judicial application, as explored in Bedner's work, further illustrates the challenge of bridging theoretical legal thinking with real-world judicial practice.

2.3 *Contemporary Issues in Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law*

Contemporary issues in constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia are closely linked to ongoing efforts to ensure judicial independence, protect human rights, and achieve effective governance. The post-New Order period, characterised by the 'Reformasi' movement, has witnessed significant constitutional amendments aimed at strengthening democracy and the protection of human rights, yet challenges still remain [16]. Indonesia's Constitutional Court plays an important role in this landscape, tasked with upholding constitutional rights and integrating international human rights standards into national law [17].

However, the practical implementation of these rights often faces obstacles, as highlighted by the difficulty in harmonising sharia principles with democratic values and civil liberties, reflecting the complex socio-political dynamics in a country with a majority Muslim population [3]. The intersection between religious norms and human rights further complicates the legal landscape, as seen in the challenges faced by individuals seeking to remarry after a Catholic divorce, where religious doctrines clash with personal freedoms, leading to human rights violations [18]. Despite these challenges, the state has made strides in protecting the constitutional rights of citizens, especially in the context of democratic processes such as elections, where the Constitutional Court guarantees the legal resolution of electoral disputes [5]. The ongoing struggle for judicial independence and effective governance is thus a multifaceted issue, requiring continuous efforts to strike a balance between tradition and modernity, religious norms and human rights, and legal reform with law enforcement.

3. METHODS

3.1 *Research Design*

The research design aims to address two main foci: the historical development of constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia, and the contemporary issues it faces. The research combines a systematic review of literature, legal texts and historical documents, as well as juridical analysis to interpret legal and constitutional developments. Data collection involved both primary and secondary sources. Primary sources include constitutional texts such as the 1945 Constitution and its amendments, historical documents from the colonial period to Reformasi, as well as important judicial decisions that shaped constitutional interpretation in Indonesia. Secondary sources include academic literature such as books, journal articles, theses, reports of government and non-governmental organisations, international publications, as well as expert opinions offering perspectives on contemporary legal challenges.

3.2 *Data Analysis*

Data analysis was conducted through qualitative content analysis, which involved systematically coding and categorising data to identify patterns, themes and trends. The analysis was divided into two main parts: first, a historical analysis that included an examination of the historical evolution of constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia, including key events, legal reforms, and political changes that were analysed to understand their impact on the legal framework, with a focus on the colonial era, the post-independence period, the New Order regime, and the Reform era. Second, contemporary analyses that address current issues and challenges in the realm of constitutionalism and the rule of law, including themes such as judicial independence, human rights protection, and legal reform, are explored with reference to current academic literature, case law, and expert opinion to provide a comprehensive overview of contemporary issues.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 The Historical Development of Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law in Indonesia

The foundation of Indonesia's legal system was heavily influenced by Dutch colonial rule, which introduced the civil law system. During this period, the legal framework was primarily designed to serve colonial interests, with limited consideration of the rights of the local population and governance.

The struggle for independence culminated with the adoption of the 1945 Constitution, which marked a significant shift towards the establishment of a sovereign legal and political system. This constitution laid the foundation for the principle of constitutionalism, which emphasised the rule of law, separation of powers and fundamental rights. However, the early years of independence were characterised by political instability and challenges in implementing these principles.

The era of Guided Democracy under President Sukarno (1959-1966) saw a shift away from constitutional governance. Sukarno's emphasis on centralised power and ideological unity weakened the rule of law and constitutional checks and balances. The suspension of parliamentary democracy and consolidation of executive power characterised this period, which led to significant legal and political chaos.

The New Order regime under President Suharto (1966-1998) brought a semblance of stability but at the expense of authoritarian rule. The legal system was used as a tool of control, with limited judicial independence and rampant corruption. Despite economic growth, this period was characterised by human rights abuses and the suppression of political dissent. The role of the judiciary was largely subordinate to the executive, weakening the rule of law.

The fall of Suharto in 1998 ushered in the Reformasi era, which was marked by significant legal and constitutional reforms aimed at democratisation and

decentralisation. Amendments to the 1945 Constitution between 1999 and 2002 were crucial in strengthening constitutional governance. Key reforms included the establishment of a Constitutional Court, enhanced protection of human rights, and measures to ensure the independence of the judiciary.

These reforms have been instrumental in advancing the rule of law, but many challenges remain. The judiciary has gained greater autonomy, but issues such as corruption, inconsistent application of the law, and political interference remain significant obstacles.

4.2 Contemporary Issues in Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law

One of the key contemporary challenges in Indonesia is ensuring the independence of the judiciary. Despite constitutional guarantees, the judiciary often faces political pressure and corruption. High-profile cases, such as the impeachment of Constitutional Court Chief Justice Akil Mochtar in 2013 on corruption charges, highlight ongoing issues. Efforts to reform the judiciary, including the establishment of the Judicial Commission, have yielded mixed results. The independence and integrity of the judiciary remain critical to upholding the rule of law.

Human rights protection in Indonesia has improved since the Reformasi era, with the inclusion of human rights provisions in the constitution and the establishment of the National Human Rights Commission (Komnas HAM). However, many challenges remain, particularly in areas such as freedom of expression, freedom of religion, and the rights of marginalised groups. Cases of violence against religious minorities, restrictions on press freedom, and inadequate protection of indigenous peoples' rights indicate the need for continued vigilance and reform.

Legal reforms aimed at improving governance and combating corruption have been at the centre of Indonesia's efforts to strengthen the rule of law. The Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) has played an

important role in investigating and prosecuting corruption cases. However, recent legislative changes perceived to weaken the KPK's powers have fuelled public protests and concerns about the government's commitment to anti-corruption measures. Effective governance requires ongoing reforms to ensure transparency, accountability, and consistent application of the law.

The interplay between political dynamics and legal institutions continues to influence constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia. The relationship between the executive, legislature and judiciary is often characterised by tensions and power struggles. Political interference in the legal process, especially in high-profile cases involving political elites, undermines public confidence in the legal system. Strengthening the institutional framework and promoting a culture of legal integrity are essential to mitigate these challenges.

4.3 Implications and Recommendations

The findings of this research highlight the complex and evolving nature of constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia. Historical legacies, political dynamics and ongoing challenges in terms of judicial independence, human rights and governance shape the current legal landscape.

4.3.1 Strengthening Judicial Independence

Improving the independence of the judiciary in Indonesia requires a comprehensive approach, with a focus on the accountability and integrity of judges. The Constitutional Court plays an important role in interpreting and safeguarding the constitution, emphasising the interdependence between independence and accountability in the judicial system [19], [20]. [It is crucial to ensure that judges maintain their independence even when proposed to by other areas of state power, promoting checks and balances based on democratic principles [20]. The principle of judicial independence aims to guarantee fair and impartial trials, which are protected by legal

mechanisms and guarantees [21]. In addition, the judiciary must uphold integrity and ethical standards, ensuring the upholding of justice, the rule of law, and the benefit of legal values for all citizens [22]. Despite historical challenges, including the accountability crisis, Indonesia can strengthen its judiciary by improving the selection and training of judges, increasing transparency in the judicial process, and providing adequate resources for effective functioning [23].

4.3.2 Enhancing Human Rights Protection

Strengthening human rights protection requires a multifaceted approach that includes legislative reforms, improved enforcement mechanisms, and public education on human rights issues. Governments play a critical role in prioritising the protection of vulnerable groups and ensuring prompt and effective responses to human rights violations. Legal changes, such as those analysed in various countries [24], are critical in advancing human rights protection, while mechanisms such as those of the European Court of Human Rights [25] and the UN's emphasis on state responsibility for corporate human rights violations [26] underscore the importance of strong law enforcement. In addition, the evolving landscape of regional human rights protection systems [27] and Indonesia's efforts to guarantee human rights [16] highlight the importance of continuous improvement and adaptation in advancing and protecting human rights at the global level.

4.3.3 Promoting Good Governance

Efforts to promote good governance in Indonesia should include strengthening anti-corruption measures, increasing transparency in public administration, and fostering a culture of accountability, as highlighted in various research papers. Various studies emphasise the importance of implementing good governance principles to ensure sustainable economic growth and development [28]. Moreover, the importance of transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in the governance system is underlined to build public trust and provide

quality services to citizens [28]. Furthermore, the need for a strict oversight system to prevent corrupt practices in large-scale projects such as the construction of the National Capital City was also emphasised, advocating for the optimisation of legal regulations and institutional structures to ensure efficient and corruption-free operations [29]. By empowering independent oversight bodies and applying the law consistently, Indonesia can strengthen its legal system and increase public confidence in governance practices [30].

4.3.4 Addressing Political Interference

Reducing political interference in the legal process in Indonesia needs to be done by strengthening the separation of powers and promoting the autonomy of legal institutions. This can be achieved by establishing a strong legal framework that limits the influence of the executive and legislature on the judiciary and other independent institutions, thereby upholding the rule of law [31], [32]. Addressing the politicisation of law enforcement is essential to ensure fairness and honesty in the legal system, preventing imbalance and injustice [31]. In addition, improving the execution of PTUN judgements is also very important, which requires effective coercive legal measures to force compliance and prevent weaknesses in law enforcement [33]. Strengthening regulations, inter-agency coordination, internal oversight and public education are essential to effectively combat obstruction of

justice and uphold the integrity of the judicial process [34]. Legal reform is essential to reduce regional disparities and conflicts, promote equitable development and national unity through better governance systems [35].

5. CONCLUSION

This research highlights the dynamic nature of constitutionalism and the rule of law in Indonesia. Historical analyses show significant changes in the legal and constitutional framework, influenced by the colonial legacy, political transition and reformasi. Indonesia's journey in building a constitutional democracy is characterised by important milestones and ongoing challenges. Contemporary analyses highlight issues of judicial independence, human rights protection and governance. Despite constitutional guarantees and reforms, the judiciary faces political pressure and corruption. Human rights protection is improving, but challenges remain, particularly for marginalised groups. Governance, including corruption and political interference in the law, continues to be an obstacle. The findings emphasise the need for continued reforms to strengthen judicial independence, improve human rights protection, promote good governance, and reduce political interference in the law. Important steps include implementing accountability mechanisms, improving the selection and training of judges, and ensuring judicial transparency.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. L. Leliya, "INDONESIAN STATE LAW IN ITS HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE AND DEVELOPMENT," *Pena Justisia Media Komun. Dan Kaji. Huk.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 829–845, 2024.
- [2] S. Isra and P. M. Faiz, "The Indonesian Constitutional Court: An Overview," *Brill's Asian Law Ser.*, p. 55, 2024.
- [3] A. Shalihah, "Kontekstualisasi Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945 Dalam Perspektif Islam," *J. Law Adm. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 53–69, 2024.
- [4] L. Judijanto, Z. Zainuddin, and I. Bidja, "Analysis of the Role of the Constitution, Legislature, and Judiciary in Maintaining the Principles of Government Effectiveness in Indonesia," *West Sci. Law Hum. Rights*, vol. 2, no. 01, pp. 45–52, 2024.
- [5] I. A. Gani, R. Asmara, S. Sulaiman, and A. Husna, "The Constitutional Court's Protection and Fulfilment of the Citizens' Rights: Constitutional and Islamic Law Perspectives," *Samarah J. Huk. Kel. dan Huk. Islam*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 317–338, 2024.
- [6] I. D. G. Palguna, "Indonesia's Diversity: A Brief Constitutional Perspective," in *Courts and Diversity*, Brill Nijhoff, 2024, pp. 17–54.
- [7] M. A. Khuluqi and M. Muwahid, "Sejarah Sistem Pemerintahan dan Kekuasaan Eksekutif di Indonesia," *Al-Qanun J. Pemikir. dan Pembaharuan Huk. Islam*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 167–180, 2023.

- [8] B. Bahari and H. B. Gusliana, "Era Reformasi: Implementasi Negara Hukum dan Demokrasi di Indonesia," *Leg. J. Huk.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 232–235, 2023.
- [9] D. A. Bs and M. R. Fadhilillah, "The Development of General Elections and Regional Head Elections After the Reformasi Era," *J. Law, Polit. Humanit.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 311–318, 2023.
- [10] S. A. Wiraguna and Z. A. Fakrulloh, "LEGAL REFORMS IN INDONESIA RELATED TO" PRESIDENTIAL THRESHOLD" OF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE IN LAW NO. 7/2017 CONCERNING GENERAL ELECTIONS," *Ius Positum J. Law Theory Law Enforc.*, pp. 58–69, 2023.
- [11] K. Azmi, "MENITI ULANG MASALAH ETIKA DAN NETRALITAS ASN DI ERA REFORMASI BIROKRASI," *J. Wahana Bina Pemerintah.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- [12] V. D. Purnomo and K. E. Suryono, "The Collapse of the New Orde Regime Resulted in Changes in Indonesia's Economic Policy," *J. Pengabd. Masy. Formosa*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 395–406, 2022.
- [13] M. Thohir and D. Sukriono, "Implementation Authority Of The Constitutional Court In The Indonesian Constitutional Law System," *Int. J. Business, Law, Educ.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1495–1503, 2023.
- [14] M. M. S. Matondang and M. R. S. Putra, "Law Enforcement Against Corruption Crimes in Indonesia," *AURELIA J. Penelit. dan Pengabd. Masy. Indones.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 320–324, 2024.
- [15] W. Vimelia, A. Aglia, and A. Prabowo, "Reactualizing Indonesia's Legal System: A Comprehensive Critique and Proposals for Reform," *Int. J. Humanit. Law, Polit.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 68–72, 2024.
- [16] R. A. Ardyansyah and S. Rizal, "Instrument for Protection and Enforcement of Human Rights in Indonesia," *Rechtenstudent*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 289–302, 2023.
- [17] I. M. M. Mirza, R. Natamiharja, and J. A. M. Serna, "Social Transformation of International Human Rights Law Through Indonesian Constitutional Court," *Uti Possidetis J. Int. Law*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 439–471, 2023.
- [18] E. Sundari and A. Retnowati, "The Fundamental Problems of Implementing Legal Pluralism of Religious in Indonesian Marriage Law and A Proposal to Reform Based on The Pure of Law Theory," *Int. J. Relig.*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 793–799, 2024.
- [19] S. Butt, "Constitutional Court Decision on the Judicial Independence of Other Indonesian Courts," *Const. Rev.*, vol. 9, p. 247, 2023.
- [20] F. S. Rezah and A. T. Sapada, "The independence and accountability of the constitutional court in the constitutional system in Indonesia," *SIGn J. Huk.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 247–260, 2022.
- [21] S. Pushkar, "Ensuring the independence of judges as a guarantee of their activities," *Uzhhorod Natl. Univ. Herald. Ser. Law*, vol. 2, pp. 336–341, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.24144/2307-3322.2023.78.2.54.
- [22] K. Huda, B. T. Bawono, and A. Arifullah, "Implementation of Judge Independence in the Process of Implementing Justice in Islamic Law Perspective," *Law Dev. J.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 518–525.
- [23] A. M. ASRUN and Z. A. HOSSEIN, "EVOLUTION OF INDONESIAN JUDICIARY: FROM STRUGGLE OF INDEPENDENCE TO CRISIS OF ACCOUNTABILITY," *Russ. Law J.*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2023.
- [24] M. Widodo, J. Johari, F. U. Marshinta, M. S. A. Jacob, and R. M. A. Mangkunegara, "Legal Development and Human Rights: A Study of Legal Reform in Various Countries," *Glob. Int. J. Innov. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 188–193, 2024.
- [25] V. Trofymenko, V. Serohin, O. Fedorchenko, R. Ovcharenko, and Y. Kovalova, "Protection of the Civilian Population from Human Rights Violations through European Mechanisms in Wartime," 2024.
- [26] V. S. Kyrhizova and O. Y. Tragniuk, "Some issues of human rights protection in the field of business within the framework of the UN," *Anal. Comp. Jurisprud.*, pp. 607–611, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.24144/2788-6018.2023.05.109.
- [27] R. Grote, "Current issues and common challenges for the protection of human rights in Europe, the Americas, and Africa," *Impact Inter-American Hum. Rights Syst. Transform. Gr.*, no. Routledge 2016, pp. 76–99, 2024, doi: 10.1093/oso/9780197744161.003.0005.
- [28] R. A. Ibrahim, F. Sidiq, and A. S. Azahra, "Bureaucratic Reform and Clean Governance: Efforts Towards Social Justice and Sustainable Economic Growth in Indonesia," *Int. J. Humanit. Law, Polit.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 49–53, 2023.
- [29] C. Harefa, B. Harefa, and H. Bakhtiar, "The Implementation of Good Governance for Optimizing the Potential Corruption Prevention in the Development of the Nusantara (IKN)," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 7, May 2024, doi: 10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i05-09.
- [30] P. S. Pratiwi and S. Ningsih, "Implementasi Akuntabilitas dan Transparansi Pada Pelayanan Publik di Kelurahan Pondok Kelapa Jakarta Timur Tahun 2020," *PANDITA Interdiscip. J. Public Aff.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 44–50, 2022.
- [31] D. Jubaidi, "Political Configuration of Law in Law Enforcement in Indonesia," *Ilomata Int. J. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 560–576, 2023.
- [32] D. Eryanto, I. van Eeden Jones, and K. Lasthuizen, "The troubling impact of political interference in Indonesian public sector institutions on ethical leadership credibility," *Int. J. Public Leadersh.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 319–336, 2022.
- [33] Y. Hayati and M. H. S.H., "Legal Administrative Review of Deviations in the Execution of State Administrative Court Decisions in Indonesia," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 6, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.47191/ijsshr/v6-i10-95.
- [34] S. Keysha and R. Abduh, "Esensi Delik Obstruction of Justice Dalam Konstruksi Hukum Pidana," *UNES Law Rev.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 8289–8298, 2024.
- [35] A. Umam, D. S. Yusuf, D. Rato, and F. Setyawan, "Legal Foundations and Inequities in Indonesian Autonomy," *Indones. J. Innov. Stud.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 10–21070, 2024.