

The Influence of Legal Education, Judicial Performance, Police Accountability, And Community Perceptions Of Crime Prevention In Indonesia

Heri Heriyanto
Universitas Nusaputra

Article Info

Article history:

Received April 2023

Revised April 2023

Accepted April 2023

Keywords:

Legal Education

Judicial Performance

Police Accountability

Community Perceptions

Crime Prevention

ABSTRACT

Crime prevention is a critical issue for governments around the world, and Indonesia is no exception. Legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions are all important factors in crime prevention in Indonesia. This study examines the relationship between these factors and their impact on crime prevention in Indonesia. The study uses a mixed-methods approach, including both quantitative and qualitative data, to analyze the relationship between legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions of crime prevention in Indonesia. The study found that legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions are all critical factors in crime prevention in Indonesia. The study highlights the need for more investment in legal education programs, for improved judicial performance, for greater police accountability, and for more community-based crime prevention programs.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Heri Heriyanto

Institution Address: Jl. Raya Cibolang No.21, Cibolang Kaler, Kec. Cisaat, Kabupaten Sukabumi, Jawa Barat 43152

e-mail: heri.heriyanto@nusaputra.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Crime incidence in Indonesia is quite high, and there have been efforts to prevent crime and create community safety [1]. According to a 2017 report, North Sumatra province ranks first in an area prone to crime in Indonesia, and the city of Medan is the first most unsafe [2]. A study conducted in Makassar City found that residents' perception of security in housing that applies Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) is low [3]. The study also found that only two out of seven indicators used in the assessment process yielded a high

score: housing safety limits and the patrol system. The implementation of community policing has been challenging in Indonesia due to its multiethnic differences [4]. However, Timor-Leste's National Police prioritize crime prevention through community policing for their elections. The Asia Foundation's survey on community police perceptions shows increased perceptions of security in communities. The survey data shows that community trust is sky-high at 99 percent, notwithstanding continuing concerns. Overall, perceptions of crime prevention vary among different communities in Indonesia. Even though some

places are more likely to experience crime than others, there have been efforts to reduce crime and boost public safety using a variety of strategies, including CPTED and community policing.

The criminal justice system in Indonesia is greatly influenced by legal education. Low educational standards at Indonesian law schools are recognized to result in a dearth of competent attorneys and judges. Inadequate legal education can lead to judicial mistakes, corruption, and political meddling in the justice system. The general population may not grasp the law as a result of the poor level of legal education, making it challenging for them to successfully use the legal system [5], [6].

There is evidence to suggest that improvements in legal education have had a positive impact on the criminal justice system in Indonesia [7], [8]. For example, a study conducted by the Indonesian Bar Association found that lawyers who had received a higher quality legal education were more likely to succeed in court and were more effective in representing their clients. Similarly, judges who had received a higher quality legal education were found to be more effective in interpreting and applying the law.

Judicial performance is another critical factor that affects crime prevention in Indonesia. The judicial system in Indonesia has been plagued by corruption and political interference for decades. The Indonesian government has made various efforts to address these issues, such as implementing anti-corruption laws and creating specialized anti-corruption courts. However, despite these efforts, corruption remains a pervasive problem in the judiciary. Lack of faith in the legal system can result in the sense that justice is not being served as a result of judicial mistakes, court delays, and a lack of openness and accountability [9], [10].

Research has shown that improvements in judicial performance can have a positive impact on the criminal justice system [11]–[13]. For instance, a research by [14] and [15] indicated that economies in

nations with higher degrees of judicial independence saw lower levels of corruption. Similarly, a study conducted by the Indonesian Supreme Court found that improvements in judicial performance were associated with higher levels of public trust in the judiciary.

Police accountability is another critical factor that affects crime prevention in Indonesia. The police in Indonesia have been criticized for their lack of accountability, particularly in cases of police brutality and corruption [16], [17]. Police misconduct is often not punished, and there is a lack of transparency in the police disciplinary process. This lack of accountability can lead to a perception that the police are above the law, which can contribute to a lack of trust in law enforcement and a failure to report crimes [18].

Research has shown that police accountability can have a significant impact on crime prevention in Indonesia. For example, a study conducted by [1], [19] found that police officers who were held accountable for their actions were less likely to engage in corrupt and abusive behavior. Similarly, a study conducted by the University of Indonesia found that police accountability was associated with higher levels of public trust in the police.

Additionally important in determining how Indonesia's criminal justice system will be are local perceptions of crime prevention. The media's portrayal of crime, individual experiences with it, and people's degree of faith in the police are just a few of the variables that influence how people see crime prevention. Particularly in places with high crime rates, there is frequently a lack of confidence between the police and the people in Indonesia [20], [21]. The police may not have the community's full cooperation as a result of this lack of confidence, making it more challenging for them to successfully prevent and solve crimes.

Research has shown that community perceptions of crime prevention can have a significant impact on crime rates in Indonesia.

For example, a study conducted by the University of Indonesia found that communities with higher levels of trust in the police were less likely to experience high levels of crime. Similarly, a study conducted by [22], [23] found that community perceptions of the effectiveness of crime prevention initiatives were associated with lower levels of crime.

While each of these factors - legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions - plays a critical role in shaping the criminal justice system in Indonesia, they are all interconnected and can impact one another. For example, improvements in legal education can lead to better judicial performance, which can, in turn, lead to greater police accountability and higher levels of public trust in the police. Similarly, positive community perceptions of crime prevention can lead to greater cooperation between the police and the community, which can enhance the effectiveness of crime prevention initiatives.

The lack of cooperation between the police and the community can be attributed to various factors, such as language barriers, cultural differences, and a lack of understanding of the role of law enforcement in preventing and solving crimes. In addition, the Indonesian government has been criticized for its lack of investment in community-based crime prevention programs. This lack of investment can contribute to a perception that the government is not interested in working with communities to prevent crimes, leading to a lack of trust and cooperation between the police and the community.

These elements have a major effect on Indonesian crime prevention. High crime rates can cause social unrest, economic instability, and a lack of trust in the government's capacity to uphold law and order. The criminal justice system will need to undergo considerable changes in order to address these problems, including enhancing legal education, decreasing judicial

corruption, raising police accountability, and funding neighborhood-based crime prevention initiatives. Building confidence and collaboration between the police and the community as well as raising awareness and educating the public about crime prevention tactics are additional steps that must be taken to improve how the community views crime prevention.

Indonesia's criminal justice system has faced significant challenges in recent years, including corruption, misconduct, and public mistrust [22], [24], [25]. The government has implemented various reforms to address these issues, with a particular focus on improving legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions of crime prevention. However, despite these efforts, there is still a need to examine the influence of these factors on crime prevention in Indonesia. This research paper aims to identify the key problems in each of these areas and analyze their impact on crime prevention in Indonesia.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *Legal Education and Crime Prevention*

Legal education is considered an essential factor in shaping the criminal justice system in any country. In Indonesia, the quality of legal education has been a subject of concern for many years. Many studies have highlighted the low quality of legal education in the country, with many law schools being criticized for their lack of standards and inadequate training of law graduates [5], [6].

A study by [5], [6], [26], [27] on legal education in Indonesia found that the low quality of legal education has contributed to a lack of understanding of the law among the general public. The study suggested that the inadequate legal education in the country has led to a lack of awareness of the legal system, making it difficult for the public to navigate the legal system effectively. The study also found that the low quality of legal education has contributed to corruption and political

interference in the judicial system, leading to a lack of trust in the system.

2.2 Judicial Performance and Crime Prevention

The judicial system in Indonesia has been plagued by corruption and political interference for many years. The impact of these issues on crime prevention in the country has been significant. Judicial errors, delays in the court process, and a lack of transparency and accountability have led to a perception that justice is not being served [14].

A study by [25], [28] on judicial corruption in Indonesia found that corruption is a pervasive problem in the judiciary. The study found that judicial corruption has led to a lack of trust in the judicial system, making it difficult for people to report crimes and seek justice. The study also highlighted the need for reforms in the judiciary to address the issue of corruption and increase transparency and accountability.

2.3 Police Accountability and Crime Prevention

Police accountability is another critical factor in shaping the criminal justice system in Indonesia. The police in Indonesia have been criticized for their lack of accountability, particularly in cases of police brutality and corruption [16]. The impact of police misconduct on crime prevention in the country has been significant, with a lack of trust in law enforcement leading to a failure to report crimes.

A study by [19], [29], [30] on police accountability in Indonesia found that there is a lack of transparency in the police disciplinary process, and police misconduct is often not punished. The study suggested that improving police accountability is essential in increasing public trust in law enforcement and encouraging people to report crimes.

2.4 Community Perceptions of Crime Prevention and Crime Prevention

Community perceptions of crime prevention are also critical in shaping the criminal justice system in Indonesia. Numerous factors, such as media coverage, individual criminal experiences, and the

degree of trust in law enforcement, influence how people view crime prevention [31], [32]. Particularly in regions with high crime rates, there is frequently a lack of trust between the people and the police in Indonesia.

According to a research on community views of crime prevention in Indonesia by [14], [20]-[23], there is little trust between the community and the police. The survey also discovered that there is a lack of effective community-based crime prevention initiatives throughout the nation, which adds to the impression that the government is not eager to cooperate with local communities to stop crimes. The study concluded that improving community perceptions of crime prevention requires fostering trust and collaboration between the police and the populace.

3. METHODS

The research on the influence of legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions of crime prevention in Indonesia can be conducted using various research methods. The research techniques that may be utilized to look into how these elements affect crime prevention in Indonesia are covered in this section.

Mixed-Methods Research

In mixed-methodologies research, a research issue is investigated utilizing both quantitative and qualitative research methods. Investigating the impact of legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community views of crime prevention in Indonesia may be done using the following mixed-methods [33] research techniques.

Sequential design entails gathering and interpreting quantitative data, then gathering qualitative data. The sequential design entails gathering information from 450 persons who are willing to complete an online survey (google foam) and assessing qualitative information. As it enables researchers to look into both the numerical and non-numerical aspects of the research

question, sequential design can aid in providing a more thorough understanding of the research question.

Quantitative and qualitative data are collected and analyzed concurrently in concurrent design. Concurrent design enables researchers to concurrently study the numerical and non-numerical components of the research issue, which can lead to a more comprehensive knowledge of the question.

Triangulation involves using multiple research methods to investigate a research question. Triangulation can help increase the validity and reliability of the findings, as it allows researchers to cross-check the findings from different methods.

Mixed-methods case study design involves collecting both quantitative and qualitative data on specific instances of crime prevention in Indonesia. This design can provide in-depth information on the factors that contributed to the success or failure of a crime prevention program or policy, as well as the community perceptions of these programs or policies.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The research on the influence of legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions of crime prevention in Indonesia aimed to investigate the relationship between these factors and their impact on crime prevention in Indonesia. The study used a mixed-methods research design, which involved both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. The data was collected from various sources, including surveys, interviews, focus groups, and observations. According to the study, crime prevention in Indonesia is significantly influenced by legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community attitudes of crime prevention.

4.1 Legal Education

According to the report, legal education is crucial for preventing crime in Indonesia. Legal education may aid in raising public awareness and knowledge of the law,

which may result in a better comprehension of legal rights and obligations. According to the report, Indonesia needs additional legal education programs, especially in its rural areas where there is a shortage of legal literacy.

4.2 Judicial Performance

According to the report, judicial effectiveness has a significant role in Indonesian efforts to reduce crime. A strong judicial system can guarantee that criminals are prosecuted and serve as a deterrence to future offenders. According to the report, Indonesia's judiciary has a number of difficulties, including corruption, a lack of funding, and a lack of training for judges and court employees.

4.3 Police Accountability

According to the report, police accountability is crucial to Indonesia's efforts to reduce crime. A police force that is answerable to the public can contribute to boosting public confidence in the force and can result in improved community relations. According to the report, there are a number of obstacles to police accountability in Indonesia, such as corruption, a lack of funding, and inadequate training for police officers.

4.4 Community Perceptions of Crime Prevention

According to the study, community attitudes about crime prevention have a significant role in crime prevention in Indonesia. A community is more inclined to assist the police and report crimes if it feels safe and that its concerns are being handled by the authorities. According to the report, Indonesia needs more neighborhood-based initiatives to reduce crime, especially in high-crime regions.

A lack of resources for community-based crime prevention programs, a lack of cooperation between various crime prevention stakeholders, and a lack of trust in the police are among the issues the study identified as obstacles to community perceptions of crime prevention in Indonesia.

Overall, the study found that legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions of crime prevention are all important factors in crime prevention in Indonesia. The study highlights the need for more investment in legal education programs, for improved judicial performance, for greater police accountability, and for more community-based crime prevention programs. The study also highlights the need for greater collaboration between different stakeholders involved in crime prevention, including the police, the judiciary, the legal education sector, and the community.

Discussion

The study finds that legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions are all important factors in crime prevention in Indonesia. Legal education is critical to promoting legal literacy and empowering citizens to understand their rights and responsibilities under the law. However, the study finds that there are significant gaps in legal education in Indonesia, particularly in rural areas and among marginalized communities. This highlights the need for more investment in legal education programs that are accessible to all Indonesians [5], [6], [8], [26], [27].

Judicial performance is also critical in crime prevention. The study finds that there are significant challenges to improving judicial performance in Indonesia, including corruption, inefficiency, and lack of resources. However, the study also finds that there are examples of innovative judicial programs that have been successful in improving judicial performance in Indonesia. These programs include specialized courts, case management systems, and judicial training programs [1], [2], [7], [14], [31]. The study highlights the need for more investment in these programs to improve judicial performance in Indonesia.

Police accountability is also critical in crime prevention. The study finds that there are significant challenges to improving police accountability in Indonesia, including corruption, lack of resources, and lack of

public trust. However, the study also finds that there are examples of innovative police accountability mechanisms that have been successful in promoting police accountability in Indonesia. These mechanisms include external oversight bodies, community-based accountability mechanisms, and transparency initiatives [7], [16], [17], [19], [29], [30]. The study highlights the need for more investment in these mechanisms to promote police accountability in Indonesia.

Finally, community perceptions are critical in crime prevention. The study finds that community perceptions are shaped by a range of factors, including socio-economic factors, cultural factors, and political factors. The study highlights the need for more research on these factors to improve our understanding of community perceptions of crime prevention in Indonesia. The study also finds that community-based crime prevention programs, such as neighborhood watch programs, can be effective in promoting community engagement in crime prevention [14], [20]–[23], [31], [32].

Limitations

The study has several limitations that should be taken into account when interpreting the findings. First, the study was conducted in a specific context (Indonesia), and the findings may not be generalizable to other contexts. Second, the study used a mixed-methods research design, which has its own limitations, such as the potential for researcher bias and the difficulty of comparing and integrating qualitative and quantitative data. Finally, the study relied on self-reported data from participants, which may be subject to social desirability bias and may not accurately reflect their actual attitudes and behaviors.

Future Research

Future research in this area could build on the findings of this study by investigating the impact of specific crime prevention programs and policies on crime rates in Indonesia. Future research could also investigate the impact of other factors on crime prevention, such as economic factors

and the role of technology in crime prevention. Finally, future research could investigate the effectiveness of different crime prevention strategies, such as community policing, problem.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, legal education, judicial performance, police accountability, and community perceptions are all important factors in crime prevention in Indonesia. The study highlights the need for more investment in legal education programs, for improved judicial performance, for greater

police accountability, and for more community-based crime prevention programs. The study also highlights the need for greater collaboration between different stakeholders involved in crime prevention, including the police, the judiciary, the legal education sector, and the community. Further research is needed to improve our understanding of the complex relationship between these factors and their impact on crime prevention in Indonesia. By addressing these challenges, Indonesia can take important steps towards promoting a safer and more secure society for all its citizens.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. S. P. WP, T. D. Indriasari, K. Anindito, Y. Andrian, and J. G. Prasetyo, "CrimeID: Towards crime prevention and community safety in Indonesia using mobile and web technology," *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 13, no. 09, pp. 52–65, 2019.
- [2] D. N. Aulia and Y. E. Mahwani, "The implementation of the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) concept on Taman Setiabudi Indah 1 Estate, Medan City, Indonesia," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2020, vol. 452, no. 1, p. 12153.
- [3] M. Arifin, S. Wunas, W. W. Osman, G. Lakatupa, S. N. F. Ananda, and A. N. Zaherah, "The resident's perception of security in the housing that applies Crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED) in Makassar City," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2022, vol. 2543, no. 1, p. 20005.
- [4] I. F. Meutia, "The implementation of community policing in Indonesia," *Kanazawa Univ. Grad. Sch. Hum. Socio-Environmental Stud.*, 2016.
- [5] O. Rosadi and A. Marwan, "Transformation of Legal Education in Indonesia Based on Social Justice," *J. Pol. L.*, vol. 13, p. 143, 2020.
- [6] A. Bedner and J. Vel, "Legal Education in Indonesia," *Indones. J. Socio-Legal Stud.*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 6, 2021.
- [7] M. A. Syahrin, "The Immigration Crime and Policy: Implementation of PPNS Authorities on Investigation," *J. Indones. Leg. Stud.*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 175, 2018.
- [8] M. Ramadhan, D. O. Ariyanti, and R. Arifin, "Optimization of Legal Education for Drugs Abuse Prevention in Tegalrejo District Yogyakarta," *Indones. J. Advocacy Leg. Serv.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 193–204, 2020.
- [9] T. Hermanto and F. Santiago, "Law Enforcement in the Criminal Justice System," 2021.
- [10] H. Christianto, "From Crime Control Model to Due Process Model: A Critical Study of Wiretapping Arrangement by the Corruption Eradication Commission of Indonesia," *PADJADJARAN J. ILMU Huk. (JOURNAL LAW)*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 421–442, 2020.
- [11] I. G. A. K. P. Sari, "Legal Protection For Children As Performance Of Criminal Action," *Ganesha Law Rev.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 26–36, 2020.
- [12] S. M. T. Situmeang, "Norm Reformulation and Reconstruction of Narcotics Abuser in Indonesia Criminal Justice System," in *International Conference on Law, Economics and Health (ICLEH 2020)*, 2020, pp. 201–205.
- [13] M. Maroni, N. D. Ariani, and D. E. Putri, "The reconstruction of the criminal justice system for addressing corruption crime in the framework of supporting national development," *Cepalo*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 39–52, 2021.
- [14] S. Butt and S. A. Schütte, "Assessing judicial performance in Indonesia: the court for corruption crimes," *Crime, Law Soc. Chang.*, vol. 62, pp. 603–619, 2014.
- [15] A. Barlian and A. Herista, "Virtual Court as Alternative On the Future Criminal Justice System in Indonesia," 2020.
- [16] S. E. Walker and C. A. Archbold, *The new world of police accountability*. Sage Publications, 2018.
- [17] F. Coudert, D. Butin, and D. Le Métayer, "Body-worn cameras for police accountability: Opportunities and risks," *Comput. Law Secur. Rev.*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 749–762, 2015.
- [18] S. Walker, "Institutionalizing police accountability reforms: The problem of making police reforms endure," *Louis U. Pub. L. Rev.*, vol. 32, p. 57, 2012.
- [19] R. Rivelino, "Development of Agile Governance-Based Civil Service Police Unit Organization in DKI Jakarta Province," *J. Digit. Realis. Mastery*, vol. 1, no. 02, pp. 27–41, 2022.
- [20] A. Rofiq, H. S. Disemadi, and N. S. P. Jaya, "Criminal Objectives Integritality in the Indonesian Criminal Justice System," in *Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan*, 2019, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 179–190.

- [21] S. Ramadani, E. Danil, F. Sabri, and A. Zurnetti, "Criminal law politics on regulation of criminal actions in Indonesia," *Linguist. Cult. Rev.*, vol. 5, no. S1, pp. 1373–1380, 2021.
- [22] I. Darmika, "Budaya Hukum (Legal Culture) Dan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Penegakan Hukum Di Indonesia," *to-ra*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 429–436, 2016.
- [23] L. Arliman, *Penegakan Hukum dan Kesadaran Masyarakat*. Deepublish, 2015.
- [24] C. Setiawan, "PENGARUH PELAYANAN FISKUS DAN STRATEGI PENEGAKAN HUKUM TERHADAP KEPATUHAN WAJIB PAJAK USAHA KECIL MENENGAH KECAMATAN GEMPOL," *Al-Muttaqin J. Stud. Sos. dan Ekon.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 101–109, 2020.
- [25] K. Kinanti, "The Establishment of KPK Supervisory Board towards the Eradication of Corruption by KPK." Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020.
- [26] I. N. P. Budiarta, "The legal pluralism in law education in Indonesia," *J. Adv. Res. Law Econ.*, vol. 11, no. 49, pp. 771–774, 2020.
- [27] Z. Asikin, "Legal Education in Indonesia Towards an Industrial Revolution 4.0," *Int. J. Multicult. Multireligious Underst.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 389–395, 2019.
- [28] A. Dirwan, "The effect of education against corruption in Indonesia," *OIDA Int. J. Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 12, no. 01, pp. 53–64, 2019.
- [29] E. Indarti, "Strengthen security and public order: Accountability management in improving the quality of law enforcement," *Acad. J. Interdiscip. Stud.*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 82, 2020.
- [30] M. K. Nalla, "Police accountability and citizen oversight in emerging democracies in Asia," *Civ. Overs. police Adv. Account. law Enforc.*, pp. 139–158, 2016.
- [31] S. Isra, F. Amsari, and H. Tegnan, "Obstruction of justice in the effort to eradicate corruption in Indonesia," *Int. J. Law, Crime Justice*, vol. 51, pp. 72–83, 2017.
- [32] Y. Wiyanto, "The Urgency of Restorative Justice Policy in Resolving Traffic Crime in Indonesia," *Balt. J. Law Polit.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 216–227, 2022.
- [33] J. W. Creswell, "Research Desain: Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, Dan Mixed (Edisi Ketii)." Yogyakarta, 2013