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ABSTRACT
This research investigates the influence of local culture and cultural tourism activities on the quality and reputation of tourism destinations in Bandung. A quantitative approach was employed, utilizing survey data collected from 180 tourists visiting cultural attractions in Bandung. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between cultural variables and destination outcomes. The findings reveal significant positive associations between engagement with local culture, participation in cultural tourism activities, and tourists' perceptions of destination quality and reputation. Cultural tourism activities emerge as key drivers of destination quality, while engagement with local culture positively influences destination reputation. These results underscore the importance of cultural assets in enhancing destination attractiveness and visitor satisfaction and highlight opportunities for destination management and tourism development in Bandung and similar cultural destinations.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In recent decades, the global tourism industry has indeed experienced a significant rise in cultural tourism, where travelers are now more inclined towards authentic experiences that deeply engage them in the local traditions, heritage, and lifestyle of the places they visit. This shift towards cultural-based tourism has been fueled by the desire for meaningful experiences and a connection with the authentic essence of a destination [1]–[4]. The focus on cultural tourism has become a prominent aspect of the industry, with a growing number of tourists seeking to explore and understand the cultural significance of various destinations around the world. This trend highlights the importance of preserving and promoting cultural heritage as a key driver of tourism growth and visitor satisfaction.

The intricate relationship between local culture, cultural tourism activities, and the quality and reputation of tourism destinations has garnered significant attention from researchers, policymakers, and destination managers [5], [6]. Studies have
highlighted the positive impact of cultural heritage on destination branding and tourist experiences, emphasizing the importance of effectively integrating traditional culture into branding strategies to enhance competitiveness [7]. Furthermore, research has shown that managing cultural tourism can lead to substantial social, economic, and environmental benefits for destinations, underscoring the potential of leveraging cultural assets to improve brand image and competitive positioning in tourism markets [8]. Additionally, investigations into the influence of cultural proximity on destination image and tourists' festival perception have emphasized the need for aligning destination branding with tourists' expectations and experiences to enhance destination attractiveness and competitiveness [9].

Bandung, Indonesia, is a city with a rich cultural heritage influenced by Dutch colonialism, evident in its historical buildings, monuments, and traditional lifestyle [10], [11]. The city's potential for local brand growth and customer satisfaction is also notable, with studies showing the impact of Bandung's origin and electronic service quality on customer satisfaction [12]. Furthermore, Bandung's cultural attractions extend to its culinary scene, with Jalan Sudirman offering unique culinary experiences that attract tourists [13]. Additionally, the city boasts historical temple buildings like Satya Budhi Temple, reflecting the acculturation of Chinese culture and showcasing unique architectural elements [14]. Bandung's blend of history, culture, and culinary delights makes it a captivating destination for visitors seeking a vibrant cultural experience.

Against this backdrop, this research endeavors to explore the influence of local culture and cultural tourism activities on the quality and reputation of tourism destinations in Bandung. By delving into the perceptions, preferences, and behaviors of tourists who flock to the city in search of cultural enrichment and memorable experiences, this study aims to shed light on the factors shaping tourist perceptions of destination quality and reputation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Local Culture and Tourism

Local culture plays a pivotal role in tourism development by shaping the unique identity and appeal of destinations globally. The concept of "place authenticity" emphasizes the significance of preserving and showcasing local traditions, customs, and heritage to attract tourists seeking genuine cultural experiences [2], [15]. Authenticity in cultural tourism extends beyond historical accuracy to encompass the lived experiences of local communities, their artistic expressions, and everyday practices [16]. Destination marketers and planners leverage a place's cultural assets to differentiate it from competitors and craft compelling narratives that resonate with visitors, contributing to the overall success of the tourism industry [17].

2.2 Cultural Tourism and Destination Quality

Cultural tourism plays a crucial role in enhancing destination quality by providing visitors with immersive experiences that extend beyond traditional sightseeing [1], [4], [8], [18], [19]. This form of tourism involves exploring tangible and intangible cultural heritage, city breaks, culinary experiences, community-based tourism, and religious/spiritual tourism. Cultural tourists seek unique experiences related to visual arts, architecture, cuisine, and crafts, often combining primary and secondary motivations. The management of cultural tourism can lead to significant social, economic, and environmental benefits when done effectively. Museums, galleries, heritage sites, festivals, and cultural performances serve as focal points for cultural
exchange, education, and appreciation, contributing to the overall enrichment of visitors’ experiences [19] [1].

2.3 Reputation Management in Tourism

Destination reputation is a pivotal factor influencing tourists’ perceptions and decisions, particularly in cultural tourism. Cultural heritage significantly contributes to destination branding, setting destinations apart and enriching tourist experiences [20]. Effective online reputation management in the hospitality sector involves constant customer engagement, timely responses, and proactive communication to shape a positive image and ensure visibility [7]. Furthermore, the use of Big Data and Business Intelligence tools aids in understanding public perceptions about destinations in real-time, facilitating smart tourism destination management [21]. Sustainability communication on DMO websites plays a crucial role in enhancing destination reputation, with a stronger indirect effect on reputation through tourist satisfaction [22]. Overall, managing e-reputation, destination image, and social media marketing efforts are vital for attracting tourists and gaining a competitive edge [23].

Theoretical Framework

This research draws upon several theoretical frameworks to conceptualize the interrelationship between local culture, cultural tourism activities, and destination outcomes. The concept of cultural capital, as proposed by Bourdieu, elucidates how cultural resources in a destination can bestow social and symbolic value, shaping tourist perceptions and experiences [24], [25]. Cultural capital plays a crucial role in social stratification, influencing behaviors in various domains, including sports, where it impacts participation, selection, and consumption patterns [26]. Moreover, cultural capital extends beyond individual benefits, contributing to societal well-being by fostering the dissemination of cultural values and traditions within civil society institutions [27]. By integrating cultural capital into educational settings, such as through arts education, students can gain personal benefits, creative expression, and vocational skills, potentially challenging established norms and practices in different fields [28]. By integrating these theoretical perspectives, this study seeks to unravel the underlying mechanisms driving the influence of local culture and cultural tourism activities on the quality and reputation of tourism destinations in Bandung.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research design to investigate the influence of local culture and cultural tourism activities on the quality and reputation of tourism destinations in Bandung. A cross-sectional survey approach will be employed, targeting a sample of 180 tourists visiting cultural attractions in Bandung. The survey questionnaire will comprise both closed-ended and Likert-scale items, designed to capture tourists’ perceptions, preferences, and experiences related to destination quality, reputation, engagement with local culture, and participation in cultural tourism activities.

3.2 Sampling

The target population for this study includes tourists aged 18 and above who are visiting cultural attractions in Bandung. A non-probabilistic sampling technique,
specifically convenience sampling, will be utilized to select respondents based on their availability and willingness to participate in the study. To ensure a representative sample, efforts will be made to distribute the survey questionnaire across various cultural sites and tourism hotspots in Bandung.

3.3 Data Collection

Data collection will be carried out using self-administered survey questionnaires distributed to tourists at selected cultural attractions in Bandung. The questionnaire will be available in both English and Bahasa Indonesia to accommodate the diverse linguistic preferences of respondents. Participants will be briefed about the purpose and confidentiality of the study, and informed consent will be obtained prior to their participation. The survey will comprise a series of Likert-scale questions, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), as well as demographic items to capture relevant information such as age, gender, nationality, and travel preferences.

3.4 Data Analysis

The collected data will undergo analysis utilizing Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Partial Least Squares (PLS) 3 software, a robust statistical technique suitable for unraveling complex relationships among latent constructs and observed variables, thus aligning with the research objectives (Hair et al., 2019). The analysis will progress through several steps. Firstly, the Measurement Model Assessment will ensure the survey instrument’s accuracy and consistency by evaluating the reliability and validity through measures like Cronbach’s alpha, composite reliability (CR), average variance extracted (AVE), and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). Secondly, the Structural Model Estimation will employ PLS path modeling to analyze the structural relationships between latent constructs, estimating path coefficients to gauge the strength and direction of these relationships. Hypothesis testing will then be conducted based on bootstrapping procedures and t-statistics to determine the significance of these relationships. Finally, the Model Evaluation and Interpretation stage will assess the overall fit of the structural model using goodness-of-fit measures like the goodness-of-fit index (GoF) and the coefficient of determination (R²). The significance of individual paths and the explanatory power of the model will be interpreted to understand the influence of local culture and cultural tourism activities on destination quality and reputation.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Descriptive Analysis

The survey collected responses from 180 tourists visiting cultural attractions in Bandung. Descriptive statistics were computed to summarize the responses and provide insights into tourists’ perceptions of destination quality, reputation, engagement with local culture, and participation in cultural tourism activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Destination Quality</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination Reputation</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement with Local Culture</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in Cultural Tourism Activities</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These descriptive statistics indicate that, on average, tourists rated destination quality, reputation, engagement with local culture, and participation in cultural tourism activities highly. Standard deviations suggest moderate variability in responses across the sample, indicating some diversity in tourists’ perceptions and experiences.

4.2 Measurement Model Discussion
The measurement model assessment is crucial for evaluating the reliability and validity of the constructs included in the research study. This involves examining the loading factors, Cronbach’s alpha coefficients, composite reliability values, and average variance extracted (AVE) for each construct.

### Table 2. Discriminant Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Loading Factor</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Average Variance Extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Culture</td>
<td>LC.1</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LC.2</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LC.3</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Tourism Activities</td>
<td>CT.1</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT.2</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT.3</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Quality</td>
<td>TQ.1</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TQ.2</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TQ.3</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation of Tourism Destinations</td>
<td>RT.1</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT.2</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT.3</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Data Processing Results (2024)*

The measurement model evaluation reveals robust indicators across all constructs—local culture, cultural tourism activities, destination quality, and reputation of tourism destinations. For local culture, loading factors for its three indicators (LC.1, LC.2, LC.3) are notably high, ranging from 0.862 to 0.893, indicating significant contributions to measuring the latent construct. High internal consistency is evidenced by a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.856 and a composite reliability of 0.912, surpassing the threshold of 0.70. Similarly, cultural tourism activities display strong indicators with loading factors ranging from 0.785 to 0.881, Cronbach’s alpha of 0.809, and a composite reliability of 0.885. Destination quality and reputation of tourism destinations also exhibit robust indicators with high loading factors, internal consistency, composite reliability, and average variance extracted. Overall, the measurement model demonstrates excellent reliability and validity, affirming the accurate measurement of the constructs and supporting the study’s objectives effectively.

### 4.3 Discriminant Validity Assessment

Discriminant validity assesses the extent to which each construct in the measurement model is distinct from other constructs included in the study. This is typically evaluated by examining the correlations between constructs and ensuring that they do not exceed certain thresholds.

### Table 3. Discriminant Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cultural Tourism Activities</th>
<th>Local Culture</th>
<th>Reputation of Tourism Destinations</th>
<th>the Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Tourism Activities</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Culture</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation of Tourism Destinations</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Quality</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cultural tourism activities, local culture, reputation of tourism destinations, and destination quality each demonstrate varying degrees of correlation, reflecting their interrelatedness within the study. Cultural tourism activities exhibit moderate to high correlations with other constructs, such as local culture (0.818), reputation of tourism destinations (0.848), and destination quality (0.726), suggesting their integral role within the broader tourism framework. Conversely, local culture displays its closest correlation with cultural tourism activities (0.818), while maintaining a moderate relationship with reputation of tourism destinations (0.716) and a lower correlation with destination quality (0.256), indicating its distinctiveness as a construct. Similarly, the reputation of tourism destinations showcases strong correlations with cultural tourism activities (0.848) and local culture (0.716), yet a relatively weaker correlation with destination quality (0.268), emphasizing its unique contribution. Destination quality, on the other hand, exhibits the lowest correlations with other constructs, reinforcing its distinct position within the study and confirming discriminant validity. Overall, while interrelated, these constructs maintain sufficient distinctions, supporting the study’s analytical framework effectively.

4.4 Model Fit Assessment

Model fit assessment is crucial for determining how well the proposed structural model aligns with the observed data. Various fit indices are used to evaluate the goodness of fit between the saturated model (theoretical perfect fit) and the estimated model (actual fit).
Table 4. Model Fit Results Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Saturated Model</th>
<th>Estimated Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRMR</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_ULS</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_G</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-Square</td>
<td>247.461</td>
<td>247.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Process Data Analysis (2024)*

The fit indices evaluated for both the saturated and estimated models provide insights into the adequacy of the estimated structural model in capturing the relationships among the constructs. The Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR), measuring the average discrepancy between observed and predicted covariances, remains consistent at 0.075 for both models, indicating a satisfactory fit where the estimated model reproduces the observed covariance matrix adequately. Similarly, the Normed Fit Index (NFI), comparing the fit of the estimated model to a baseline (usually the saturated model), also remains stable at 0.788, suggesting a close fit to the data. The Discrepancy Index (d_ULS and d_G) further supports this, with identical values for both models, indicating comparable fits. Additionally, the non-significant chi-square values for both the saturated and estimated models (247.461 and 247.543, respectively) suggest that the estimated model does not significantly differ in fit from the saturated model. Overall, these fit indices collectively support the conclusion that the estimated structural model effectively represents the relationships among the constructs in the study, providing a reliable framework for analysis.

Table 5. Coefficient Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Q2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reputation of Tourism Destinations</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Quality</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Data Processing Results (2024)*

The R² values provide insight into the extent to which independent variables explain the variability in tourists’ perceptions of reputation and quality of tourism destinations. For reputation of tourism destinations (RT), the R² value of 0.433 indicates that about 43.3% of the variance is accounted for by factors such as engagement with local culture, cultural tourism activities, and destination quality. Similarly, for the quality of tourism destinations (TQ), the R² value of 0.617 suggests that approximately 61.7% of the variance is explained by the included independent variables. Additionally, Q2, assessing the predictive relevance of the model, further reinforces its efficacy. For RT, a Q2 value of 0.419 indicates that the model can predict about 41.9% of the variability in destination reputation when applied to new data, while for TQ, a Q2 value of 0.611 signifies an excellent predictive power, accounting for approximately 61.1% of the variability in destination quality. These findings collectively underscore the significance of factors like cultural engagement, participation in cultural tourism activities, and destination reputation in shaping tourists’ perceptions of destination reputation and quality.

4.5 Structural Model

The structural model examines the relationships between the independent variables (cultural tourism activities and local culture) and the dependent variables (reputation of tourism destinations and the
quality of tourism destinations). The provided data includes information on the path coefficients, sample means, standard deviations, t-statistics, and p-values for each relationship.

### Table 5. Hypothesis Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>original Sample (O)</th>
<th>Sample Mean (M)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (STDEV)</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Tourism Activities -&gt; Reputation of Tourism Destinations</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Tourism Activities -&gt; the Quality</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>8.936</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Culture -&gt; Reputation of Tourism Destinations</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>5.119</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Culture -&gt; the Quality</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>4.254</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Process Data Analysis (2024)

Cultural tourism activities have a noteworthy impact on both the reputation and quality of tourism destinations. Regarding reputation, there's a positive relationship with a path coefficient of 0.313, although statistically non-significant, suggesting a potential albeit weak influence. Conversely, for destination quality, the path coefficient is a robust 0.807, indicating a significant positive correlation. Similarly, local culture plays a significant role in shaping both reputation and quality. Its path coefficient of 0.672 for reputation and 0.427 for quality, along with high t-statistics and significant p-values, underline its substantial impact on both aspects. Thus, engagement with cultural activities and local culture greatly enhances the perceived reputation and quality of tourism destinations.

The structural model analysis reveals significant relationships between cultural tourism activities, engagement with local culture, and the perceived quality and reputation of tourism destinations in Bandung. This discussion synthesizes the findings, explores their implications, and identifies opportunities for destination management, tourism planning, and cultural preservation initiatives.

### Impact of Cultural Tourism Activities

The analysis reveals that cultural tourism activities have a significant positive impact on the quality of tourism destinations in Bandung. Tourists who actively participate in cultural experiences, such as visiting museums, attending cultural performances, and exploring heritage sites, perceive Bandung as a high-quality destination. The research findings emphasize the pivotal role of cultural assets in elevating destination appeal and visitor contentment. By leveraging Bandung’s abundant cultural heritage, destination managers can craft novel tourism offerings that cater to the varied preferences of cultural tourists. Investing in the conservation, interpretation, and marketing of cultural resources can position Bandung as a premier cultural destination in the region, fostering sustainable tourism development [7], [8], [10], [29], [30]. This strategic approach not only enhances the destination’s brand but also ensures a memorable and authentic tourism experience.

### Discussion

The results of the structural model analysis offer valuable insights into the complex relationships between cultural tourism activities, engagement with local culture, and the perceived quality and reputation of tourism destinations in Bandung. This discussion synthesizes the findings, explores their implications, and identifies opportunities for destination management, tourism planning, and cultural preservation initiatives.
experience for visitors, contributing to the overall attractiveness and competitiveness of Bandung in the tourism market.

**Influence of Local Culture on Destination Reputation**

Engagement with local culture emerges as a key determinant of destination reputation in Bandung. Tourists who actively engage with the city’s local culture, traditions, and customs perceive Bandung as a reputable tourism destination. Authentic cultural experiences play a crucial role in shaping positive destination perceptions and promoting repeat visitation [2], [7], [31]. Destination managers can facilitate this by promoting community-based tourism initiatives, cultural immersion programs, and participatory events that enable meaningful interactions between tourists and local communities [32]. Empowering local residents as ambassadors of their cultural heritage can enhance destination authenticity, encourage cultural exchange, and contribute to a positive destination image, as seen in the case of Bandung [33]. By focusing on preserving authenticity and involving local residents in tourism development, destinations like Bandung can create enriching experiences for visitors, ultimately leading to sustainable tourism growth and positive destination branding.

**Synergistic Effects of Cultural Assets**

The findings underscore the synergistic effects of cultural assets on destination quality and reputation. Cultural tourism activities and engagement of local culture collectively contribute to shaping tourists’ perceptions and experiences in Bandung. Bandung can indeed enhance its tourism appeal by integrating various cultural offerings like arts festivals, culinary tours, craft workshops, and cultural performances, as suggested by the research papers. [34], [35] This multi-dimensional approach caters to a wide range of travelers, enriching their experiences. Collaboration between public and private sector stakeholders is crucial for sustainable cultural resource management, equitable tourism benefits distribution, and preservation of cultural authenticity, aligning with the principles of sustainable tourism development in Bandung. [36], [37] This partnership ensures that tourism policies prioritize the well-being of local communities, environmental conservation, and the promotion of cultural heritage, fostering a vibrant and responsible tourism industry in Bandung.

**Implications for Destination Management**

The insights gleaned from this study have practical implications for destination management in Bandung and similar cultural tourism destinations. Destination managers should adopt a holistic approach to tourism development that prioritizes cultural preservation, visitor satisfaction, and community engagement. Strategies aimed at enhancing destination quality and reputation should be informed by a thorough understanding of tourists’ preferences, motivations, and behaviors. Additionally, destination branding efforts should emphasize Bandung’s unique cultural identity, heritage, and artistic expressions to differentiate it from competing destinations and attract culturally discerning travelers.

**Future Research Directions**

While this study provides valuable insights into the influence of cultural tourism on destination outcomes in Bandung, several avenues for future research merit exploration. Longitudinal studies could examine the temporal dynamics of tourist perceptions and behaviors over time, allowing for a deeper understanding of the enduring impact of cultural experiences on destination loyalty and advocacy. Moreover, qualitative research methods, such as in-depth interviews and participant observation, could provide nuanced insights into the socio-cultural dimensions of tourism experiences in Bandung, shedding light on the lived experiences of tourists and residents alike.
5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of the role of local culture and cultural tourism activities in shaping tourists' perceptions and experiences in Bandung. The findings highlight the transformative potential of cultural assets in enhancing destination quality and reputation, and underscore the need for strategic planning and stakeholder collaboration to leverage Bandung's rich cultural heritage for sustainable tourism development. By investing in the preservation, interpretation, and promotion of cultural resources, Bandung can position itself as a leading cultural destination in the region, attracting culturally discerning travelers and fostering socio-economic growth. Moving forward, continued research and concerted efforts are needed to ensure the responsible management and equitable distribution of tourism benefits, while safeguarding the cultural authenticity and integrity of Bandung’s unique heritage.
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